Y Pwyllgor Plant, Pobl Ifanc ac Addysg: Adroddiad ar Femorandwm Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil

Y cefndir

1. Ar 1 Rhagfyr, gosododd Kirsty Williams AC, Ysgrifennydd y Cabinet dros Addysg, Femorandwm Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol¹ y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil², sydd ar hyn o bryd gerbron Senedd y DU. Mae'r Memorandwm yn ymwneud â chymalau'r Bil sy'n cynnwys darpariaethau sydd o fewn cymhwysedd deddfwriaethol y Cynulliad.

2. Mae Rheol Sefydlog 29 yn cynnwys manylion ynghylch y broses gydsynio mewn perthynas â Biliau Senedd y DU. Pan fydd Senedd y DU yn dymuno deddfu ar bwnc sydd eisoes wedi'i ddatganoli i Gynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru, yn ôl y confensiwn, mae gofyn iddi gael cydsyniad y Cynulliad cyn pasio'r ddeddfwriaeth dan sylw. Bydd y Cynulliad yn rhoi'r cydsyniad hwn drwy gyfrwng Cynigion Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol.

3. Cyn y gellir cyflwyno Cynigion Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol, rhaid gosod Memorandwm Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol sy'n ymwneud â'r ddeddfwriaeth dan sylw, a chaiff un neu fwy o Bwyllgorau'r Cynulliad ei ystyried maes o law. Yn yr achos hwn, cafodd y Memorandwm ei gyfeirio gan Y Pwyllgor Busnes i'r Pwyllgor Plant, Pobl Ifanc ac Addysg i'w ystyried a chyflwyno adroddiad yn ei gylch.

Crynodeb o'r Bil a'i Amcanion Polisi

4. Amcanion polisi datganedig Llywodraeth y DU yw creu mwy o gystadleuaeth a dewis yn y sector addysg uwch yn Lloegr, codi safonau ac ychwanegu at fedrusrwydd y Deyrnas Unedig mewn ymchwil ac arloesedd. Mae'n gwneud darpariaeth:

- ar gyfer sefydlu corff cyllido newydd ar gyfer rheoleiddio ac addysgu yn sector addysg uwch Lloegr - sef y Swyddfa ar gyfer Myfyrwyr (Office for Students);
- i'r Swyddfa gynnal cofrestr o ddarparwyr addysg uwch yn Lloegr, ynghyd â chynnal cynllun sgorio ansawdd a safonau (y Fframwaith Rhagoriaeth Addysgu ("Teaching Excellence Framework"));

² Y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil



¹ Memorandwm Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol: Y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil

- i'r Swyddfa ddyroddi'r pŵer i ddyfarnu graddau ynghyd â theitl 'prifysgol' i ddarparwyr addysg uwch yn Lloegr (pan fo darparwyr yn gwneud cais am bwerau dyfarnu graddau neu deitl 'prifysgol');
- i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol dderbyn data gan ddarparwyr addysg uwch yn Lloegr at ddibenion ymchwil - gan gynnwys yr holl ddata o'r broses o wneud cais hyd at gael eu derbyn, os ydynt yn cynnig gwasanaeth - os ydynt yn defnyddio gwasanaeth derbyniadau canolog neu'n rhannu gwasanaeth derbyniadau â sefydliadau eraill;
- ar gyfer trefnu moddau amgen o wneud taliadau cyllid myfyrwyr yng Nghymru a Lloegr;
- i gorfforaethau addysg uwch yn Lloegr gael eu dadreoleiddio; a
- chreu corff ymchwil ac arloesedd newydd: Ymchwil ac Arloesedd y Deyrnas Unedig (United Kingdom Research and Innovation).

5. Wrth iddo gael ei gyflwyno am y tro cyntaf, roedd y rhan fwyaf o'r Bil yn berthnasol i Loegr yn unig, ond roedd yn cynnwys darpariaeth berthnasol ar gyfer creu modd amgen o gyllid myfyrwyr. Cyflwynodd Llywodraeth y DU welliannau, a dderbyniwyd yn ystod y Cam Pwyllgor, gan ehangu'r ddarpariaeth i gynnwys Cymru. Gosodwyd y Memorandwm gan Lywodraeth Cymru wedi i'r gwelliannau perthnasol gael eu cyflwyno.

Y darpariaethau sy'n destun y Memorandwm Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol

6. Mae'r Bil yn gwneud darpariaethau o fewn cymhwysedd y Cynulliad yn y tri maes eang a ganlyn:

- (a) Sgorio ansawdd a safonau addysg uwch;
- (b) Cymorth ariannol i fyfyrwyr; ac
- (c) Gwelliannau i bwerau i gynorthwyo â gwaith ymchwil.

7. Caiff manylion y ddarpariaeth benodol sydd angen cydsyniad eu cynnwys ym Memorandwm Llywodraeth Cymru.³ I grynhoi, bydd y darpariaethau hyn yn:

 galluogi Gweinidogion Cymru i wneud darpariaethau, trwy reoliadau, ar gyfer cynllun cyllid myfyrwyr amgen yng Nghymru;

³ Memorandwm Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol: Y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil - paragraffau 5 hyd at 17



- galluogi Gweinidogion Cymru i gydsynio i sefydliadau addysg uwch Cymru sydd am gymryd rhan yng nghynllun sgorio'r Fframwaith Rhagoriaeth Addysgu;
- galluogi Gweinidogion Cymru, trwy reoliadau, i bennu uchafsymiau o gymorth i fyfyrwyr sy'n daladwy i fyfyrwyr o Gymru sy'n dilyn cyrsiau addysg uwch mewn sefydliadau yn Lloegr trwy gyfeirio at restrau terfyn ffioedd wedi'u cyhoeddi gan y Swyddfa;
- galluogi Gweinidogion Cymru, trwy reoliadau, i wneud darpariaeth ar gyfer dynodi cyrsiau addysg uwch sy'n derbyn cymorth i fyfyrwyr trwy gyfeirio at faterion a benderfynwyd neu faterion a gyhoeddwyd gan y Swyddfa neu bobl eraill;
- galluogi Gweinidogion Cymru, trwy reoliadau, i wneud darpariaeth ar gyfer taliadau cymorth i fyfyrwyr sydd wedi'u hatal o dan y rheoliadau, ac a gaiff eu canslo maes o law;
- egluro ac ehangu pwerau Gweinidogion Cymru i ddyfarnu cyllid ar gyfer ymchwil.

Rhesymau dros wneud y darpariaethau hyn ar gyfer Cymru yn Y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil

8. Mae Llywodraeth Cymru yn gosod rhesymau manwl dros wneud y darpariaethau hyn yn Y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil, i'w gweld yn y Memorandwm.⁴

Cymhwysedd Deddfwriaethol

9. Mae'r Memorandwm yn gywir wrth nodi'r darpariaethau perthnasol yn y Bil sydd o fewn cymhwysedd deddfwriaethol Cynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru, gan ei fod yn ymwneud ag addysg a hyfforddiant o dan baragraff5 o Ran 1, Atodlen 7 Deddf Llywodraeth Cymru 2006. O'r herwydd, mae angen cydsyniad gan Gynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru. Ni wnaeth y Pwyllgor nodi unrhyw faterion cyfreithiol.

Priodoldeb y broses ddeddfwriaethol

10. Fel y nodir yn y Memorandwm, mae Llywodraeth Cymru yn credu ei bod yn briodol ymdrin â'r darpariaethau hyn yn y Bil hwn i'r DU, gan mai dyma'r modd mwyaf prydlon, effeithlon ac eglur o gael y pwerau sydd eu hangen at y dibenion a nodwyd. Mae Llywodraeth Cymru hefyd yn credu y gallai oedi wrth geisio darpariaeth, a hynny ar yr

⁴ Memorandwm Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol: Y Bil Addysg Uwch ac Ymchwil - paragraffau 18 hyd at 25



un pryd ag y symudir darpariaethau yn eu blaenau yng ngweddill y DU, olygu y byddai darparwyr Cymru, a myfyrwyr sy'n astudio gyda'r darparwyr hynny, o dan anfantais.

11. Mae Llywodraeth Cymru hefyd wedi cadarnhau nad oes unrhyw oblygiadau ariannol i Lywodraeth Cymru os bydd Cynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru yn cydsynio i'r darpariaethau sy'n berthnasol i Gymru.

Ymgynghoriad

12. Ysgrifennodd y Pwyllgor at Undeb Cenedlaethol y Myfyrwyr, Prifysgolion Cymru, CCAUC a Chynghorau Ymchwil y DU yn ceisio eu barn ynghylch y Memorandwm. Gweler yr ymatebion a gafwyd yn yr Atodlen.

13. Nodwyd nifer o bryderon yn yr ymatebion i'r ymgynghoriad yn ymwneud â'r polisi a oedd yn sail i'r darpariaethau perthnasol yn y Bil. Yn yr amser a oedd ar gael, ni fu'n bosibl i'r Pwyllgor ymgynghori'n ehangach nac ychwaith fynd i'r afael â'r pryderon hyn ag Ysgrifennydd y Cabinet. Bydd y Pwyllgor yn ysgrifennu at Ysgrifennydd y Cabinet dros Addysg yn pwysleisio'r pryderon a nodwyd, yn amodol ar gydsyniad y Cynulliad.

14. Fodd bynnag, ni nodwyd yn yr ymatebion i'r ymgynghoriad unrhyw fater sy'n effeithio ar y cwestiwn p'un a oes angen cydsyniad y Cynulliad, na ph'un a ddylai'r polisïau yn y darpariaethau perthnasol gael eu hymestyn i Gymru.

Ystyriaeth a Chasgliad y Pwyllgor

15. Trafododd y Pwyllgor y Memorandwm ar 14 Rhagfyr 2016. Mae'r Pwyllgor yn fodlon y bydd y Bil yn gwneud darpariaeth mewn cysylltiad â Chymru, a hynny at ddiben sydd o fewn cymhwysedd deddfwriaethol y Cynulliad. Ar sail y Memorandwm a gyflwynwyd, nid oes gan y Pwyllgor unrhyw bryderon ynghylch y broses.

16. Er i'r broses ymgynghori godi ambell bryder o ran y polisi, nid oes unrhyw fater wedi'i godi yn ymwneud â ph'un a oes angen cydsyniad, na ph'un a ddylai'r polisïau yn y darpariaethau perthnasol gael eu hymestyn i Gymru.

17. Mae'r Pwyllgor yn argymell y dylai'r Cynulliad gefnogi'r Cynnig Cydsyniad Deddfwriaethol, gan ei fod yn briodol i ymdrin â'r darpariaethau hyn yn y Bil hwn i'r DU, gan mai hwn yw'r cyfrwng deddfwriaethol mwyaf ymarferol a chymesur er mwyn galluogi i'r darpariaethau hyn fod yn gymwys mewn perthynas â Chymru.



Tŷ Afon, Heol Bedwas	Tŷ Afon, Bedwas Road
Bedwas, Caerffili,	Bedwas, Caerphilly
CF83 8WT	CF83 8WT
029 2085 9696	www.hefcw.ac.uk

Cyngor Cyllido Addysg Uwch Cymru Higher Education Funding Council for Wales



7 December 2016

Lynne Neagle AC/AM Chair Children, Young People and Education Committee National Assembly for Wales Cardiff Bay Cardiff CF99 1NA

Dear Ms Neagle,

Thank you for your letter of 25 November, 2016 inviting us to comment on the Legislative Consent Memorandum: Higher Education and Research Bill. In the time available, it has not been possible to subject this response to consideration by the Council: it represents the view of officers only.

We consider it appropriate that provision be made to enable higher education providers in Wales to participate in the Teaching Excellence Framework (clause 25 refers). We also consider the proposed amendments to clauses 79 to 81 to broadly appropriate.

We note the proposal to provide the Secretary of State/Welsh Ministers powers to provide support for research, including grants etc. This is an enabling provision and it is not really for us, as a WGSB, to offer a view on it. The proposal does, though, raise the question of what might be intended. The primary means by which Welsh government funding is provided in support of research in Wales is via HEFCW as part of a long-established 'dual support' mechanism, whereby this core funding provides for the infrastructure necessary to enable universities to compete for project based funding made available by UK research councils and other sources. This core research funding, whilst taking account of Welsh government priorities, also provides universities with sufficient flexibility to be able to respond to new research developments and opportunities. If the purpose of this enabling provision were to be an erosion of core research funding via HEFCW in favour of highly targeted project funding directly by Welsh government, there would be a strong risk of undermining the core research infrastructure of Wales, resulting in range of adverse consequences. The importance of the 'dual support' approach for research funding is explored in the recently published report by Professor Sir Ian Diamond on Higher Education Funding and Student Finance in Wales.¹ We would not advocate moving away from this approach to research funding. As indicated above, though, this is

Mr David Allen Cadeirydd/Chair Dr David Blaney Prif Weithredwr/Chief Executive



¹ <u>http://gov.wales/docs/dcells/publications/160927-he-review-final-report-en.pdf</u>

more a matter of the potential use of the proposed provisions, rather than the proposed legislation *per se*.

We have no further comments we would wish to offer on the proposed Legislative Competence Order at this stage.

Yours sincerely,

Andoney

David Blaney





2il Lawr Adeiladau Cambrian Sgwâr Mount Stuart Caerdydd CF10 5FL ff 02920 435 390 e office@nus-wales.org.uk www.nus.org.uk 2nd Floor Cambrian Buildings Mount Stuart Square Cardiff CF10 5FL t 02920 435 390 e office@nus-wales.org.uk www.nus.org.uk

1. NUS Wales

1.1. National Union of Students in Wales (NUS Wales) is the largest democratic organisation in Wales, representing learners in a wide variety of settings including higher education and further education, adult community learning and apprenticeships.

2. General Comments

- 2.1. NUS Wales welcomes the opportunity to outline our views regarding the Legislative Consent Memorandum on the Higher Education and Research Bill, to the Children and Young People and Education Committee.
- 2.2. The Green Paper, *Fulfilling our Potential*, created the foundations for the Teaching Excellence Framework and the associated role of the Office for Students. Students' Unions around the country have been very clear about the detrimental impact of linking quality of teaching with fee increases, particularly for those from disadvantaged backgrounds.
- 2.3. The White Paper, *Success as a Knowledge Economy*, went further by outlining a series of controversial "metrics" for how teaching excellence would be measured. NUS Wales supports the principle of better quality teaching, and consistent ways of measuring and comparing such data between institutions to inform student choice, but the principle creates an openly market-driven system.
- 2.4. A market-driven system will involve failure, in that it will create a scenario where state-sponsored universities will be allowed to falter and ultimately close down. This will obviously have a devastating impact on students at such institutions, not to mention those who are in the midst of applying (and not to mention international and non-home domiciled students).
- 2.5. The TEF, while not directly considered by this LCM, is directly linked to the creation of the Office for Students. It is important that they are therefore not considered independently of one another.
- 2.6. We would also like to draw attention to recent media activity regarding the establishment of the Office for Students. Until very recently, the new body was proposed to exist with no representation from students or the wider student bodies. This would have been extremely regressive and has recently changed, following pressure from NUS UK. It should be noted that the funding body in Wales, HEFCW, operates with student engagement as a core value and student representatives sit at all levels of the organisation.

2.7. With the somewhat weighty caveat of TEF in mind, this response will seek to outline the impact that the Office for Students may have on Wales and the broader HE sector.

3. Part 1 – The Office for Students (OfS)

- 3.1. NUS Wales does not believe that the proposed changes are truly part of an attempt to create a system that works for students; rather, they are part of an attempt to further create a market-driven HE sectors and substantially raise tuition fees.
- 3.2. The metrics of TEF, as outlined below, do not adequately measure teaching excellence. The UK Government appears to have approached the TEF with the same outlook as they hold for the Research Excellence Framework, and the two are simply not interchangeable. We have highlighted the deficiency of each metric.
- 3.3. The Destinations of Leavers from Higher Education survey. It is a poor measure of teaching quality as Westminster's own research has shown that your career path is most heavily impacted by your ethnicity, age, gender and social class not by the quality of the teaching you receive.
- 3.4. The National Student Survey. Whilst Students' Unions have developed methods of using the NSS to improve the student experience, it does not work as a measure of teaching quality. Most importantly, the data collected by the survey does not genuinely demonstrate whether teaching is indeed inclusive. Secondly, the sector have become adept at performing well in the NSS by using incentives and producing materials that impress upon students the important link between the NSS and the prestige of the institution. This therefore makes it less likely that students will criticise the university through the NSS for fear of de-valuing their degree. It is also currently unclear whether or not the sections of the NSS that are relating to student engagement will be used in TEF, another sign that TEF does not see students as partners but rather as consumers.
- 3.5. *Retention.* Students who leave university do so for a number of different reasons; from financial problems to mental health issues, and from the entirely valid decision that HE is not for them. Retention can therefore be a very useful measure in terms of measuring whether or not a University is supporting its students, but it is entirely inadequate for assessing the quality of teaching.
- 3.6. NUS Wales has significant concerns with the proposed changes in that they will lead not only to fee increases but also to fees being linked to quality. It is predicted that if the HE Bill is passed that, by 2026, English-domiciled students studying at English universities could be paying up to £12,000 a year. This will have severe ramifications for the dynamics and shape of the higher education sector, entrenching inequality between providers and running counter to the Welsh Government's ambition to increase widening access and social mobility.
- 3.7. This ambition, by the Welsh Government, is something that NUS Wales has been a vocal supporter of and the proposed system could see it extinguished.
- 3.8. What we do not want to see is a situation in which students are forced to choose a university based on their financial abilities or we risk seeing a segregated

system develop in which it mostly benefits students from advantaged backgrounds attending "Gold" Universities.

- 3.9. A further concern with TEF is the current plan to introduce subject level TEF by the fourth year. This will mean that one university could have subjects within the same discipline with different TEF ratings. However, the fee level will still be decided at a university level. That is, if a University achieves a Gold TEF score, they will still be able to charge full TEF fees for all their subjects, no matter what the TEF subject level score is. Therefore, you could have students studying on a course that has been rated as Bronze, but paying Gold fees because their University has achieved a Gold TEF rating. This again highlights that TEF is not truly about providing students with the best teaching quality, but about allowing institutions to raise fees and creating a competitive market.
- 3.10. There is a concern that Welsh universities being a part of TEF will affect the oversight that the Welsh Government will have over the delivery of HE in Wales. This is of a particular concern in light of the Hazelkorn Review as it raises questions over who will have greater oversight over Welsh HE; the new Tertiary Education Authority or the English-based Office for Students. The Bill gives the Office for Students a far greater power for intervention than HEFCE currently has, meaning they will potentially be able to exert these powers without having to go through the Welsh Government.
- 3.11. We therefore view the Office for Students, and any associated powers that they may have over Welsh institutions, as a potential challenge to devolution. It is essential that the policy levers and powers that Welsh Government has over the HE sector remain in whatever new body is created.

4. Part Two: – Other Education Measures

- 4.1 It is imperative that Muslim students are given the opportunity to take out loans in order to afford the cost of education. Currently Muslim students are not able to access Sharia compliant loans, which has a detrimental effect on their ability to access Higher Education, particularly for those who cannot access financial support from their family. Therefore, we believe it is imperative that Clauses 79 and 80 are changed in a way that enables students to access Sharia compliant laws.
- 4.2 In relation to Clause 81, whilst we do not wish to see tuition fees rising above £9000m we acknowledge that it is likely to happen in England. Therefore, we believe that Welsh students who wish to study in England should have access to loans that will cover the higher cost of tuition. Students should be able to access Higher Education wherever they wish to study therefore it is important that the loan provision given to Welsh undergraduates is one that can cover the cost of study anywhere in the UK.

5 Part 3 – Research

5.1 Research, for the most, is not fully devolved to Wales. One of the greatest threats to research, and the associated sector, that the Welsh Government can truly aid in is the vote to leave the European Union. Erasmus+, European Structural Funding and, in this instance, the Horizon2020 scheme will all potentially be withdrawn from the UK.

- 5.2 We would urge the Committee to consider how the impact of the Higher Education and Research Bill can be mitigated to ensure that areas like Horizon2020 are protected.
- 5.3 NUS Wales welcomes the opportunity to provide further oral or written evidence to the committee if requested.

Fflur Elin, NUS Wales President



The National Assembly for Wales Children Young People and Education Committee Consultation on the Higher Education and Research Bill 2016: A response by Universities Wales

1. About Universities Wales

1.1. Universities Wales represents the interests of universities in Wales and is a National Council of Universities UK. Universities Wales' Governing Council consists of the Vice-Chancellors of all the universities in Wales and the Director of the Open University in Wales.

2. Introduction

- 2.1. We offer the following comments in response to the consultation of the Children Young People and Education Committee (CYPEC) on the Legislative Consent Memorandum (LCM) relating the Higher Education and Research Bill 2016 (HERB), which was laid before the National Assembly for Wales on 17 November 2016.²
- 2.2. Since this consultation was launched we note that a revised version of the LCM was published on 1 December 2016.¹ Further amendments to HERB were agreed at the Report Stage of the House of Commons, and we expect further amendments to be laid for the House of Lords to consider in Committee.² Our response makes some additional comments in relation to these, in anticipation that these will also be the subject of a subsequent LCM.
- 2.3. For fuller comments on the Bill, see in particular our response to the Public Bill Committee of the House of Commons.³ This response set out key issues for Wales, supplementing the responses of Universities UK and Universities Scotland.
- 2.4. We offer comments on the provisions in the Bill for which Assembly consent is required by convention as follows.

3. Provisions for which consent is required

(A) Rating the quality of, and the standards applied to, higher education

3.1. We welcome that the amendment to Clause 25 of the Bill enables universities in Wales to participate in the Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF) and that the Office for Students (OfS) will be able to assess TEF applications. It is essential that universities are able to participate in TEF on grounds of international reputation and recognition, as we set out in our response to the Welsh Government's consultation.

¹ See <u>here</u>.

² See <u>here</u> for the Bill, <u>here</u> for the accompanying Explanatory Notes, and <u>here</u> for its legislative progress.

³ See <u>here</u>.

(B) Financial Support for Students

- 3.2. Universities Wales supports the principle behind these amendments to clauses 79 to 81, namely that the Welsh Ministers should have the same powers in relation to Wales.
- 3.3. As originally drafted, the Bill gave the Secretary of State the power (in relation to England) to determine the maximum amount of student loan by reference to matters determined or published by the Secretary of State or other persons. Amendment 109 gave the Welsh Ministers the same powers in relation to Wales. Amendment 243 added similar power to designate HE courses for the purpose of student support by reference to matters also allowed suspended student support payments/alternative payments to be cancelled (Amendments 242, 244 and 245).
- 3.4. However, we are concerned about the drafting of Clause 81 as amended. In particular, the power to specify the maximum amount of student loan and the power to designate HE courses for the purpose of student support are both exercisable 'by reference to matters <u>determined</u> or published the Secretary of State <u>or other persons</u>'.
- 3.5. This appears to provides too much latitude. Seemingly, the Welsh Ministers/UK Government could exercise these powers by reference to any person, not just the OfS. They can also refer to matters in any form, whether published or not, not just the OfS register of providers and TEF classifications. The provision as drafted has considerable potential for being used in ways that are not currently intended in future, or may be more appropriate to set out in regulations with the oversight of the National Assembly for Wales. We would prefer the wording of this clause to be tightened to ensure that it corresponds more closely with the specific instances in which the UK and Welsh governments would exercise powers.

(C) Amendments to powers to support research

- 3.6. The amendment purports to clarify that the Secretary of State/Welsh Ministers powers to provide support for research includes providing financial support for research (i.e. grants, loans and other payments) subject to such terms and conditions as they see fit including those which may require the recipient of support to repay sums, pay interest and provide information.
- 3.7. We query whether this clarifies or extends the powers in relation to universities in England and Wales.
- 3.8. At the moment, core funding for research in Wales is currently provided via HEFCW under the Further and Higher Education Act 1992, which enables the Welsh Ministers to give HEFCW grant on such terms as it sees fit, and for HEFCW in turn to allocate that grant to institutions on such terms as it sees fit. However, the powers of the Welsh Ministers and HEFCW are both subject to a number of important limitations which are designed to protect the academic and institutional autonomy of institutions, and allow institutions to operate at arms-length from government as is required by charitable status and to avoid reclassification as central government for purposes of national accounting classifications.

- 3.9. Competitive funding for specific projects is also provided by the UK Research councils. The current legislation for UK research council funding allows the Secretary of State to set terms and conditions of grant to UKRI but relies on UKRI's powers to enter and negotiate contracts with individual institutions in respect to UK competitive research funding projects.
- 3.10. Otherwise, the Welsh Government and HEFCW have powers to enter agreements directly. We question whether this amendment is required and are concerned that, without further qualification, the amendment may be opening up a route to direct funding without being subject to the important provisions of the 1992 Act which appropriately safeguard institutions.

4. Report stage amendments

(D) UKRI representation

- 4.1. A number of amendments which also relate to Wales were laid by the UK Government on 15 November 2016 and agreed in the Report Stage.⁴
- 4.2. In particular, Amendment 35 places a duty on the Secretary of State to 'have regard to the desirability' of UKRI membership including "at least one person with relevant experience in relation to at least one of Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland" i.e. a representative from one of the devolved nations.
- 4.3. We recognise and appreciate that this appears to be designed to address concerns expressed by the responses of Universities Wales and Universities Scotland. However, this amendment is wholly insufficient in our view. As a minimum each nation should be represented, and it should be mandatory.
- 4.4. Amendment 21, by contrast, provides that there <u>must</u> be at least one person with experience of representing or promoting the interests of students (i.e. a student representative).
- 4.5. As expressed in our response to the Public Bill Committee, the legislation needs to be strengthened to ensure devolved interests are better catered for when exercising UK wide functions. The legislation must ensure there is appropriate representation and build in appropriate duties not only to consult with devolved administrations but to also have regard to devolved policy. UK research funding should also be appropriately ring-fenced.
- 4.6. We strongly endorsed the views expressed by Universities Scotland in their submission to the Public Bill Committee, and the nine amendments proposed by the Scottish National Party (amendments 180 to 188). These were not agreed in the Commons. However, we understand that further amendments addressing these areas will be tabled on the behalf of Universities Scotland for consideration by the Lords. We ask that the Welsh Government and National Assembly support these.

⁴ See <u>here</u>.

5. Further issues

- 5.1. A key further issue we raised in our submission to the Public Bill Committee was the need for a further amendment to only allow the dissolution of a higher education corporation (HEC) in Wales at its request, in line with the changes in England.
- 5.2. We understand that the Welsh Government does not intend to bring forward legislation of its own to address this at this stage. In our view this leaves HECs in Wales unnecessarily exposed to the risk of reclassification by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for purposes of national accounting. A review of the classification is currently overdue (expected in June 2016) and the power to dissolve a corporation was cited as a factor which previously led to reclassification of the FE sector.
- 5.3. We would like to draw this issue to the attention of the Committee, in the hope that we can encourage the issue to be addressed.

Universities Wales 7 December 2016