

Pleidleisiau a Thrafodion dydd Mawrth, 25 Mehefin 2002

Dechreuodd y Cyfarfod am 2.00pm

Cwestiynau Llafar i'r Prif Weinidog

Gofynnwyd y 10 cwestiwn cyntaf

Datganiad: Strategaeth Troseddwyr Ifanc - *Gohiriwyd yr eitem hon tan ddydd Iau 27 Mehefin*

2.47pm

Datganiad: Gwnaeth y Gweinidog dros Ddatblygu Economaidd ddatganiad ynghylch colli swyddi yn Dawn Meats Ltd, Cross Hands

3.02pm

Datganiad Busnes: Y Gweinidog dros Lywodraeth Agored

Cytunwyd ar y datganiad busnes

3.09pm

Dyfarniad y Llywydd

Cyhoeddodd y Llywydd ei Ddyfarniad ar y Pwyntiau o Drefn a godwyd gan Ron Davies ac eraill ar 18 Mehefin

3.15pm

Cynnig Cyfansawdd

NDM1088 - Cynnig bod y Cynulliad Cenedlaethol, gan weithredu o dan Reol Sefydlog 22.25:

1. Yn cymeradwyo:

Rheoliadau Cymwysterau Athrawon Addysg Bellach (Cymru) 2002 a osodwyd yn y Swyddfa Gyflwyno ar 28 Mai 2002; ac

2. Yn ystyried:

adroddiad y Pwyllgor Deddfau nad yw wedi nodi unrhyw fater sy'n destun pryder yn y gorchymyn drafft Rheoliadau Cymwysterau Athrawon Addysg Bellach (Cymru) 2002, a osodwyd yn y Swyddfa Gyflwyno ar 11 Mehefin 2002.

3. Yn cymeradwyo:

Gorchymyn Addysg (Cyrff sy'n Cael eu Cydnabod) (Cymru) 2002 a osodwyd yn y Swyddfa Gyflwyno ar 28 Mai 2002; ac

4. Yn ystyried:

adroddiad y Pwyllgor Deddfau nad yw wedi nodi unrhyw fater sy'n destun pryder yn y gorchymyn drafft Gorchymyn Addysg (Cyrff sy'n Cael eu Cydnabod) (Cymru) 2002, a osodwyd yn y Swyddfa Gyflwyno ar 11 Mehefin 2002.

5. Yn cymeradwyo:

Gorchymyn Addysg (Cyrff sy'n Cael eu Rhestru) (Cymru) 2002 a osodwyd yn y Swyddfa Gyflwyno ar 28 Mai 2002; ac

6. Yn ystyried:

adroddiad y Pwyllgor Deddfau nad yw wedi nodi unrhyw fater sy'n destun pryder yn y gorchymyn drafft Gorchymyn Addysg (Cyrff sy'n Cael eu Rhestru) (Cymru) 2002, a osodwyd yn y Swyddfa Gyflwyno ar 11 Mehefin 2002.

Cynhaliwyd pleidlais ar y cynnig cyfansawdd:

O blaid 47; Ymatal 0; Yn erbyn 0; Cyfanswm 47

Derbyniwyd y cynnig cyfansawdd

Cynnig i gymeradwyo, o dan Reol Sefydlog 27, Gyfarwyddiadau Gwasanaethau Cymorth Ieuenctid 2002 - *Tynnwyd yr eitem hon yn ôl*

NDM1089 - Cynnig bod y Cynulliad Cenedlaethol gan weithredu o dan Reol Sefydlog 27.2 (iii), yn ystyried Cyfarwyddiadau Gwasanaethau Cymorth Ieuenctid (Cymru) 2002 a osodwyd yn y Swyddfa Gyflwyno ar 13 Mehefin 2002.

3.16pm

Dadl ar y Cod Ymarfer ar Benodiadau Cyhoeddus

NDM1090 - Cynnig bod y Cynulliad Cenedlaethol:

1. Yn cymeradwyo'r Cod Ymarfer diwygiedig ar Benodiadau'r Gweinidogion i Gyrff Cyhoeddus a anfonwyd drwy'r e-bost at yr Aelodau ar 18 Mehefin 2002.

2. Yn cymeradwyo bwriad Prif Weinidog Cymru i gyhoeddi'r Cod ym mis Medi 2002.

Cyflwynwyd y gwelliannau canlynol:

Gwelliant 1 - Jocelyn Davies (Dwyrain De Cymru)

Ym mhwynt 2 dileu 'Cymeradwyo' a rhoi 'Nodi' yn ei le

Gwelliant 2 - Jonathan Morgan (Canol De Cymru)

Ychwanegu pwynt 3

3. Yn cydnabod yr angen i recriwtio pobl o bob rhan o gymdeithas i wasanaethu ar Gyrrff Cyhoeddus

Cynhaliwyd pleidlais:

Gwelliant 1

O Blaid 22; Ymatal 0; Yn erbyn 25; Cyfanswm 47

Gwrthodwyd gwelliant 1

Gwelliant 2

O Blaid 47; Ymatal 0; Yn erbyn 0; Cyfanswm 47

Derbyniwyd gwelliant 2

Y cynnig, fel y'i diwygiwyd

O Blaid 47; Ymatal 0; Yn erbyn 0; Cyfanswm 47

Derbyniwyd y cynnig, fel y'i diwygiwyd

4.05pm

Dadl wedi'i henwebu gan y Blaid Geidwadol

NDM1091 - Mae Cynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru yn condemnio methiant y Llywodraeth i wella'r sefyllfa economaidd yng nghefn gwlad Cymru, ac yn galw ar y Llywodraeth i gyflwyno polisïau i wrthdroi'r dirywiad economaidd.

Cyflwynwyd y gwelliannau canlynol:

Gwelliant 1 - Carwyn Jones (Pen-y-bont ar Ogwr);

Dileu popeth ar ôl "Cynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru" ac ychwanegu:

"yn cymeradwyo Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru am ei pholisïau a'r camau y mae'n eu cymryd i helpu ffermwyr i addasu ac i helpu busnesau gwledig i ddatblygu a ffynnu, ac sy'n anelu at adfywio cymunedau gwledig ymhell i'r dyfodol."

Gwelliant 2 - Jocelyn Davies (Dwyrain De Cymru)

Ychwanegu ar ddiwedd y cynnig:

Yn cydnabod effaith polisïau Llywodraeth y DU wrth danseilio economi Cefn Gwlad Cymru.

Gwelliant 3 - Jocelyn Davies (Dwyrain De Cymru)

Ychwanegu ar ddiwedd y cynnig:

Yn ymrwymo i gyflwyno targedau rhanbarthol i hyrwyddo twf economaidd.

Cynhaliwyd pleidlais:

Gwelliant 1

O Blaid 28; Ymatal 0; Yn erbyn 18; Cyfanswm 46

Derbyniwyd gwelliant 1

Gwelliant 2

O Blaid 19; Ymatal 0; Yn erbyn 26; Cyfanswm 45

Gwrthodwyd gwelliant 2

Gwelliant 3

O Blaid 12; Ymatal 7; Yn erbyn 28; Cyfanswm 47

Gwrthodwyd gwelliant 3

Y cynnig, fel y'i diwygiwyd

O Blaid 28; Ymatal 0; Yn erbyn 19; Cyfanswm 47

Derbyniwyd y cynnig, fel y'i diwygiwyd

Daeth y cyfarfod i ben am 5.08pm

Cynhelir Cyfarfod Llawn nesaf y Cynulliad am

9.05 am, ddydd Iau, 27 Mehefin 2002

E P Silk

Clerc y Cynulliad

**MAE'R DDEISEB A ATGYNHYRCHIR ISOD YN YR IAITH Y'I CHYFLWYNWYD I'R
CYNULLIAD**

Derbyniwyd y ddeiseb ganlynol ar 17 Mehefin 2002

Petition: Clearer Biking Laws

Principal Petitioner: John Charles

No of Petitioners: 1746

Text of Petition:

The police stop and search policy should represent vehicle safety and or a valid belief with good reason for the driver being stopped e.g. speeding, Tax or behaving in a suspicious manner, e.g. driving a stolen vehicle or reckless driving. These points of law are justified in all cases. However when a motorcyclist is stopped on five separate occasions within a seven mile radius, this is felt to be total harassment and a complete invasion of a persons individual rights to use the road.

When a motorcyclist is stopped and told it is a vehicle friendly road check in the riders favour, then why are there no Ministry of Transport officials in attendance or a motorcycle or a motorcycle MOT technician available to check the vehicle properly?

The police officers who do the road side inspections are not qualified to inspect the main safety features which should be checked as MOT standards. Therefore you are liable to be prosecuted for what they deem to be an illegal accessory, e.g. a pattern exhaust pipe as they are louder than a standard exhaust pipe. The vehicle will have passed an MOT with the exhaust pipe in place.

Many motorcyclists purchase a used machine from a reputable motorcycle shop with these accessories already fitted, with the shops issued MOT's on purchase, only to be stopped while riding the vehicle and prosecuted for what is deemed to be illegal.

Coloured headlight lenses are sold as a legal accessory in all colours, they are only stated to be illegal if headlights are switched on. However many motorcyclists have been pulled over in a S.U.S and told these are an illegal accessory and should not be on the vehicle at all, even with white headlights switched off. Some riders have complained to the extent of having their lens covers confiscated.

As motorcyclists who use the roads regularly we are all very unsure as to the legal or illegal implications of the purchase of many motorcycle accessories which we can purchase over the counter at any bike shop.

With regard to the new visor laws, we are now told that tinted visors are now illegal to purchase and to wear, yet they are very beneficial on a bright sunny day, when they protect the rider from sunlight glare when riding. We understand the policing policy stating that they should not be worn at night with rider safety in mind, we are not against this aspect of the law, however in daytime use they could be deemed by riders as a safety necessity.

We as a biking community feel that the heavy handed approach to policing by a certain force

within the county is above heavy handed, where as they have taken it upon themselves to pull over any motorcyclist for any given reason and deem any accessory illegal, leading to the prosecution of the bike owner.

Where do we stand with regard to the purchase of items sold over the counter at any motorcycle dealership, which can be fitted at home to any bike by its owner? When on purchase the items legal standing is not mentioned, also VAT is paid on the product purchased? We are also lead to understand that all products of this nature are tested and approved or unapproved by the British Standards Institute. If a number of these products are indeed illegal why are they on sale at all? We need clearer laws on these grey areas, published openly with good reasons why the product has become illegal. It should not be left up to the police alone to inform a rider that a product he has purchased a week before is now illegal and he will be prosecuted for that item.

The car manufacturers of today are making cars with very dark tinted windscreens and all other windows virtually blacked out. While we as motorcyclists are told a mere tinted visor is illegal. Car accessory manufacturers have on sale little blue lights which sit below the windscreen area of the car and big bore exhaust systems which are very loud, they even sell fluorescent lights which are fitted to the spoiler area below the engine area at the front of the car. There seems to be a law for some and a law for others. Motorcycle riders are being pulled over on a continuous basis, while other road users are free to do as they see fit with their vehicles.

This is a peaceful protest organised by Free Style Riders Motorcycle Club. We as a club also raise money for many charities and do toy runs for our local hospitals. However this positive aspect of biking is not promoted, people tend to think of us as causing trouble and being a menace on the roads, when the truth is we come from all walks of life, some are professional people others just love their bikes. Finally a closing thought bikes are one of the most greenest environmentally friendly vehicles on our roads today.

DOGFENNAU A OSODWYD ERS Y CYFARFOD LLAWN DIWETHAF

Dogfennau a osodwyd ar 24 Mehefin 2002

Adroddiad y Pwyllgor Iechyd a Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol ar ei Ystyriaeth o Fesur Drafft Gwasanaeth Iechyd Gwladol (Cymru) - LD1485