Explanatory Memorandum to The Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) (Amendment) (No.2) Regulations 2012

This Explanatory Memorandum has been prepared by the Higher Education Division of the Department for Education and Skills and is laid before the National Assembly for Wales in conjunction with the above subordinate legislation and in accordance with Standing Order 27.1.

Minister's Declaration

In my view, this Explanatory Memorandum gives a fair and reasonable view of the expected impact of the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) (Amendment) (No.2) Regulations 2012. I am satisfied that the benefits outweigh any costs.

Leighton Andrews

Minister for Education and Skills

15 May 2012

1. Description

The Regulations further amend the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009 (SI 2009/470). The amendments introduce changes to the repayment system and the level of interest that will accrue on income-contingent student loans for individuals who have started their studies after academic year 2012/13.

2. Matters of special interest to the Constitutional and Legislative Affairs Committee

These Regulations amend the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009 (SI 2009/470) ("the 2009 Regulations"). The 2009 Regulations were made as composite regulations by the Welsh Ministers (in relation to Wales) and the Secretary of State and they govern repayments of student loans by borrowers who have taken out income-contingent loans for courses which began on or after September 1998. Although most of the provisions made by the Secretary of State only apply in relation to England, the 2009 Regulations do contain provisions which are made by the Secretary of State in relation to England and Wales which concern the tax system, to the extent that student loans can be collected through the taxation system operated by Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs ("HMRC").

This composite statutory instrument is subject to the negative resolution procedure in the National Assembly for Wales and in both Houses of the UK Parliament. Given the composite nature of the 2009 Regulations, it is not considered reasonably practicable for this instrument to be made bilingually.

3. Legislative background

The Regulations are made by the Welsh Ministers in relation to Wales (save for regulation 11) in conjunction with the Secretary of State in relation to England (save for regulation 11 which extends to all of the United Kingdom) under sections 22 and 42 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 and sections 76 and 78 of the Education Act 2011".

The functions of the Secretary of State under Section 22 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 as regards Wales were transferred to the National Assembly for Wales by section 44 of the Higher Education Act 2004, except for those functions in section 22(2)(a), (c), (j) and (k), 3(e) and (f) and (5). Functions under sub-sections (2)(a), (c) and (k) became exercisable concurrently with the National Assembly. The functions in sections 22(2)(j), 22(3)(e)-(h) and section 22(5) remain Secretary of State functions. The above functions of the National Assembly were subsequently transferred to the Welsh Ministers by section 162 of, and paragraph 30 of Schedule 11 to the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Under section 76 of the Education Act 2011 (which provides for changes to the interest rate applicable to students loans), the Welsh Ministers may prescribe, by regulations the circumstances in which section 76 is not to apply to in relation to a

student who begins a course on or after 1 September 2012.

This instrument will follow the Negative Resolution procedure.

4. Purpose & intended effect of the legislation

This is part of a suite of legislation intended to implement the Welsh Government's policy on higher education funding and student finance for academic year 2012/13 onwards (a number of related statutory instruments were made last year, including the Assembly Learning Grants and Loans (Higher Education) (Wales) (No.2) regulations 2011). The overall policy is being implemented in response to the report by Lord Browne on higher education funding and student finance in England and consequent decisions by Ministers in England to cut higher education funding and allow higher education institutions (HEIs) to charge significantly higher tuition fees.

In England, and in addition to the changes being introduced by these amendment regulations:

- the basic tuition fee will increase to £6,000 per annum;
- HEIs will be able to charge tuition fees up to £9,000 per annum, providing they can demonstrate a commitment to widening access;
- maintenance grants for those below £42,000 will increase to a maximum of £3,250;

In response to the changes announced in England, the Minister for Children, Education and Lifelong Learning (now the Minister for Education and Skills) made a statement to the Assembly on 30 November 2010. In order to provide additional support for students ordinarily resident in Wales, and to ensure that Wales continues to benefit, economically, socially and culturally from the investment that the Government makes in higher education, the Minister announced that:

- tuition fees in Wales would increase from academic year 2012/2013 and higher education institutions (HEIs) would be able to charge tuition fees up to £9,000 per annum, provided they could demonstrate a commitment to widening access and other strategic objectives through fee plans approved by the Higher Education Funding Council for Wales ("HEFCW") in line with its corporate strategy;
- students ordinarily resident in Wales would continue to be eligible for subsidised loans to meet the cost of fees up to the current level (£3,465 per annum in respect of academic year 2012/13). The Welsh Government would provide a non-means-tested tuition fee grant for the balance over and above current fee levels, to be paid through the HEFCW (and the Student Loans Company in respect of English HEIs) on behalf of students ordinarily resident in Wales wherever they study:

As regards the regulations which form the subject matter of this memorandum, the Minister also stated in the same announcement that the income repayment threshold for student loans will increase from £15,000 to £21,000, and variable progressive rates of interest charged depending on income;

The rationale for the policy concerning the repayment of student loans is set out more fully in the Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) below. An Inclusive Policy Making Assessment including an equality impact assessment was carried out as part of the Policy Gateway process in relation to the suite of legislation introduced last year.

These Regulations implement changes to the student loans repayment system for new borrowers entering higher education from September 2012. The repayment system has been designed to be affordable and progressive. This means that those who progress up the salary scale will repay at a higher rate – i.e. those who earn more pay more. Repayments will be tailored to income ensuring that repayments match ability to pay. By raising the repayment threshold to £21,000 and introducing a progressive rate of interest greater protection is offered to the lowest graduate earners. After 30 years all graduates will have any outstanding balance written off.

Under this system, around a quarter of graduates with the lowest lifetime earnings will pay less overall than people under the current system do now.

The changes to the repayment system are set out below:

Eligibility to be treated as a continuing student under the existing student finance package

The Education Act 2011 allows for exceptions to those who should be subject to real rates of interest. The Regulations will ensure that all new terms, including real interest rates, are applicable to only those who start new courses in September 2012 or later. Those who are studying courses end-on will continue to take out loans under the existing student finance system.

Statutory Repayment Due Date - when repayments become due

The earliest date for repayment for all new borrowers will be April 2016. HMRC is unable to implement the new repayment threshold until that date, so borrowers may make direct payments to the Student Loans Company ("SLC") if they choose to, but no deductions will be made by employers (through Pay As You Earn ("PAYE")) or through Income Tax Self Assessment until April 2016.

<u>Write-off of loan</u> – the outstanding balance of a new loan will be cancelled 30 years after the Statutory Repayment Due Date (that due date will usually be the start of the tax year following the date on which the student completes the course). As well as the anniversary date, the loan can be cancelled if the borrower dies or the borrower receives a disability related benefit and because of the disability is permanently unfit for work (as is the case with current student loans).

<u>Credit balance - Interest Rate -</u> new interest rate provisions for borrowers who have student loan balances in credit, due to over-repayment. SLC will usually only find out that a borrower has over-repaid after the end of the tax year when HMRC advise SLC of a borrower's deductions and that amount has been applied to the customer's account.

- For the existing system From the date the loan is repaid in full, interest will continue to accrue at the rate of Retail Price Index (RPI) (subject to the low interest cap being in use). After the end of the relevant tax year, SLC will write to the borrower and advise them that interest will accrue for a further 60 days at RPI (or low interest cap), but that beyond that period no further interest will accrue on the credit balance. Following the 60 day notice the credit balance will not attract interest (0%).
- For the new system From the date the loan is repaid in full, interest will accrue at the rate of RPI only, irrespective of the (variable) rate of interest which has been charged up to that point. After the end of the tax year, SLC will write to the borrower and advise them that interest will accrue for a further 60 days at RPI, but that beyond that period no further interest will accrue on the credit balance. Following the 60 day notice the credit balance will not attract interest (0%).
- For borrowers with both types of loans Where one loan has been over-repaid, borrowers will be offered the option to either be refunded or to use the over-repaid amount towards repayment of the remaining loan. Where SLC do not receive a response, after 60 days the over-repayment will automatically default to the outstanding loan balance.

Real and Variable Interest rate provisions:

The Education Act 2011, which amended the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 to insert a cap on student loan interest rates, prescribes that the rate of interest on student loans will be:

- lower than those prevailing on the market, or
- no higher than those prevailing on the market, where the other terms on which such loans are provided are more favourable to borrowers than those prevailing on the market.

Last year, a detailed analysis was undertaken to define what 'the market' is; what the rates prevailing on that market are; and how such rates will be monitored going forward. The most suitable benchmark for monitoring the compliance of student loan interest rates with the terms of the Education Act 2011 (and the consequent exemption from the EU Consumer Credit Directive) is the Bank of England published rates for £10,000 unsecured personal loans. Analysts will continue to ensure that this remains the most relevant benchmark available.

<u>Definition of income</u> – to align the definition of "income" for calculation of variable interest rate for PAYE borrowers, Self Assessment borrowers and borrowers who reside overseas with their respective definition of income for establishing their repayment deductions.

• Interest rate whilst studying - Whilst studying, the interest rate to be charged to new students entering Higher Education for the first time from September 2012 onwards will be Retail Price Index ("RPI") + 3%. This rate will apply until

the Statutory Repayment Due Date (usually the start of the tax year following the date on which the student completes the course).

- Variable interest post Statutory Repayment Due Date Once a borrower has reached their Statutory Repayment Due Date, a variable rate of interest will be charged, which is dependent upon income. Borrowers who are resident in the UK earning £21,000 or less will be charged a rate equivalent to RPI. Interest will then be charged on a sliding scale starting at £21,000.01 up to £41,000. At £41,000 or more, the interest rate will be RPI + 3%.
- Overseas residents there will be equivalent £21,000 and £41,000 thresholds for borrowers who reside overseas so that variable interest can be applied. World Bank data will determine the relevant threshold for each country.
- Interest rate for borrowers who come into repayment before April 2016 HMRC are unable to take repayments under the new system before April
 2016. Some borrowers who are on short courses or who leave their course
 early will be due to repay before that date. Those borrowers will be charged
 interest at RPI + 3% until the April after they leave their course (a notional
 Statutory Repayment Due Date); RPI only between their notional Statutory
 Repayment Due Date and April 2016; and the appropriate rate of variable
 interest from April 2016.
- Interest rate for borrowers who lose touch with the SLC These borrowers will be charged interest at the rate of RPI + 3%. This rate will be charged until they get in touch with SLC and have provided the relevant information needed by SLC. Once SLC have the information they require, the variable interest rate will apply. This will apply to all new borrowers and will include those who move overseas straight after graduation without advising the SLC.

Interest rates and repayments from those leave the UK to reside abroad

For those who move away from the UK, SLC will establish a 12 month repayment schedule with both repayments and interest based on predicted income. This may be re-determined, if appropriate, during or at the end of that 12 month period.

<u>Threshold</u>

The repayment threshold will be £21,000 and the upper limit for determining the variable interest rate will be £41,000. Setting the contribution at £21,000 is a core part of making the system more progressive. It will mean that low earning graduates are not required to make payments and those that earn above £21,000 will contribute less each month than borrowers would under the current system. Raising the threshold for new graduates is part of the overall package of reforms to make the system more progressive and protect those that do not go on to enjoy high earnings – whilst asking those that do to contribute more.

5. Consultation

All relevant stakeholders have been consulted on the proposed changes to the Higher education and student finance system. These included proposals for the reform of the student loans repayments and - the increase of repayment thresholds from £15,000 to £21,000 and the introduction of a variable progressive rate of interest charged depending on income. Technical consultation papers on the following issues were published on the Assembly Government's consultation web page:

- the implementation of the proposed new system of higher education funding and student finance; and
- the proposed system for part time higher education funding including student finance for 2012/13

Details of the consultations undertaken and the responses received are included in the annexes to the RIA below.

6. Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA)

REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Options Appraisal

Option 1 – do nothing. To do nothing in response to the changes announced in England in respect of higher education tuition fees and student finance would have important negative consequences for students ordinarily resident in Wales.

Option 2 – make these regulations. to introduce the intended policy would see the introduction of a progressive and affordable repayment system and the maintenance of a consistent repayment policy for England and Wales.

Costs & benefits

The changes to higher education student support introduced by these Regulations will come into force for the start of academic year 2012/13.

Students

Each of the options identified above would impact on students in the following ways.

Option 1

Existing Students

There will be no financial impact if they started their course on or before the 1 September 2012 as they would continue to repay their student loans under the existing interest rate and repayment threshold system.

New students

Students who enter university after 1 September 2012 will be subject to tuition fees of up to £9,000 per annum. If the £15,000 repayment threshold remained unchanged, Welsh domiciled students would enter repayment earlier than English students and would be charged the same interest rate regardless of their income level.

Welsh domiciled student loans will be written off earlier (after 25 years) than English domiciled students (after 30 years).

No increased protection to the lowest graduate earners - a quarter of graduates with the lowest lifetime earnings would continue to pay the same as higher earning graduates not less.

Option 2

Existing Students

There will be no financial impact if students started their course on or before the 1 September 2012 as they would continue to repay their student loans under the existing interest rate and repayment threshold system.

New students

Students entering university after 1 September 2012 will be subject to the following:-

- the interest rate that is to apply to student loans whilst the student is studying will be RPI +3%;
- for part-time students, the statutory repayment date will be the April after three years of study (e.g. 1st statutory repayment date will be April 2016) unless their course is shorter in length. Full-time students will continue to enter the repayment system in the April after they finish their course;
- the repayment threshold will increase from £15,000 to £21,000;
- for graduates, the interest will accrue on a sliding scale depending on income. It will range from
 - o RPI for graduates with an income of £21,000 per annum and less, to
 - RPI +3% for graduates with an income of £41,000 per annum and above.
- the loan balance be written off after 30 years.

The repayment system has been designed to be affordable and progressive. This means:

- Welsh domiciled students would enter repayment at the same time as English domiciled students (April 2016) and would be subject to the same variable interest rates depending on their income;
- The timescale regarding the write off of student loans would be the same for both Welsh and English domiciled students;
- Students who earn more after graduation will repay at a higher rate i.e. those who earn more pay more;

- Repayments will be tailored to income ensuring that repayments match ability to pay. By raising the repayment threshold to £21,000 and introducing a progressive rate of interest to offer greater protection to the lowest graduate earners;
- Under this system, around a quarter of graduates with the lowest lifetime earnings will pay less overall than people under the current system do now.

Welsh Government

The non cash costs of introducing a revised repayment system in Wales have been estimated as follows:

2012/13 £2.5m 2013/14 £7,7m 2014/15 £14.4m

The additional costs have been included in the Welsh Government budgets.

Consultation

All relevant stakeholders have been consulted. Technical consultation papers on the following issues were published on the Assembly Government's consultation web page:

- the implementation of the proposed new system of higher education funding and student finance; and
- the proposed system for part time higher education funding including student finance for 2012/13

Key stakeholders consulted include:

- HEIs in Wales
- Higher Education Wales
- Further education colleges in Wales
- NUS Wales
- Student unions
- Local authorities in Wales
- Student Loans Company
- Higher Education Funding Council for Wales
- UCAS
- Children in Wales
- National Association of Student Money Advisers
- Student Finance Officers in local authorities, HEIs and further education colleges

The consultation periods lasted for four weeks - an summary of the consultation consultation exercises can be found at **Annex 1(i) and (ii)**.

Competition assessment

The results of the competition filter test are set out below:

The competition filter test	
Question	Answer
	yes or no
Q1 : In the market(s) affected by the new regulation,	
does any firm have more than 10% market share?	No
Q2 : In the market(s) affected by the new regulation,	
does any firm have more than 20% market share?	No
Q3: In the market(s) affected by the new regulation,	
do the largest three firms together have at least	
50% market share?	No
Q4 : Would the costs of the regulation affect some	
firms substantially more than others?	No
Q5 : Is the regulation likely to affect the market	
structure, changing the number or size of	
businesses/organisation?	No
Q6 : Would the regulation lead to higher set-up costs	
for new or potential suppliers that existing suppliers	
do not have to meet?	No
Q7 : Would the regulation lead to higher ongoing	
costs for new or potential suppliers that existing	
suppliers do not have to meet?	No
Q8: Is the sector characterised by rapid	
technological change?	No
Q9: Would the regulation restrict the ability of	No
suppliers to choose the price, quality, range or	
location of their products?	

Post implementation review

This is part of a suite of legislation that is or has been introduced since the Ministers announcement in order to ensure that the new higher education funding and student finance systems can be implemented effectively for academic year 2012/13.

The objective of the post implementation review will be to assess whether the reforms to higher education funding and student finance are operating as expected and whether they have achieved the policy objectives set. The review will need to be based on an ongoing evaluation of the reforms and their impact, taking account of the fact that the new systems will not be fully operational until 2014/15 when three full cohorts of students will have entered higher education under the new tuition fee and student finance regime proposed.

ANNEX 1(i) - consultation on the implementation of the proposed new system of higher education funding and student finance

Name & organisation	Q1. Fee planning guidance	Q2. Advantages / Disadvantages of lower basic fee rate	Q3. Design to minimise admin burden	Q4. Implementation issues	Q5. Simplify SFW processes	Q6. Any other related issues
Margaret Phelan University and College Union	Demonstrable/evidenced improvements in student and staff engagement within institutions should be a required as a condition of the fee plans. All institutions should have effective mechanisms for evaluating the student experience. Clearly UCU would argue strongly that effective student support requires an appropriate level of staffing with the time in timetables to be able to deliver that effective support at the front line. UCU would argue strongly for institutions to be required to allow more time in the teaching time tables for the tutorial support necessary to improve rates of retention. This time should be costed and be a required, clear component of any fee	concerned at the perception that a such a move might create. It is our view that given the rate set in England that Wales must not take a decision which could suggest that the fees are cheaper in Wales because the education one receives is not as good as England.	A technical issue best dealt with by the institutions	Whatever method is chosen, UCU would argue that its members are key to delivering student support and therefore they must be involved in that process.	A matter for institutions and NUS.	No comment supplied

plan. UCU believe that institutions wishing to charge more than the basic fee rate in Wales should be required to use a proportion of that fee to provide job security for staff on atypical contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.			T	
charge more than the basic fee rate in Wales should be required to use a proportion of that fee to provide job security for staff on atypical contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be to attract to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
basic fee rate in Wales should be required to use a proportion of that fee to provide job security for staff on atypical contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
should be required to use a proportion of that fee to provide job security for staff on atypical contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
a proportion of that fee to provide job security for staff on atypical contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
provide job security for staff on atypical contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
staff on atypical contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
contracts, for example rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
rolling fixed term contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
contracts. They argue that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
that the uncertainties in funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
funding, especially with regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
regard to research funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
funding, requires them to act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
act in this way. One way to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	1 0			
to ensure a future for the research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	funding, requires them to			
research base in Wales would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	act in this way. One way			
would be to attract key research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
research staff to work in institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	research base in Wales			
institutions in Wales. This could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
could be done by offering permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	research staff to work in			
permanent contracts to research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	institutions in Wales. This			
research staff currently working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	could be done by offering			
working on a series of fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.				
fixed term contracts elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	research staff currently			
elsewhere in the UK. Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	working on a series of			
Their ability to charge above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	fixed term contracts			
above the basic fee rate would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	elsewhere in the UK.			
would provide funding and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	Their ability to charge			
and prevent them for continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	above the basic fee rate			
continuing to use funding uncertainties argument.	would provide funding			
uncertainties argument.	and prevent them for			
	continuing to use funding			
	HEFCW might want to			
consider expressing this				
in the guidance as the				
need to see a percentage				

reduction over a period of years. We would also wish to see a review period within the period covering the fee plan, not just at the end of the plan period.			

Mike Williams	From and FE	We see no	The proposed	Draft written proposals	Centralisation of the	No comment
Coleg Sir Gar	perspective, and as a	advantages in	scheme sounds	circulated to the sector	processing of	supplied
Colog Cil Cal	College that franchises	setting a lower	simple and there is	backed up by	applications as in	Сарриса
	provision from HEIs,	basic fee rate in	recent experience of	opportunities for face-	England -to allow	
	some consideration	Wales compared	managing fee grant	to-face regional	access to	
	needs to be given to	to England and	monies through	meetings would be our	supporting bodies to	
	percentage of the student	agree that it would	HEFCW. Could	preferred option.	clarify information	
	fee that a franchising HEI	be sensible to	there be a link to the	, , , , , , , ,	required (ie, HMRC	
	is allowed to keep (ie, a	have a basic rate	target 'capped' FT		to qualify	
	maximum needs to be	that is in line with	numbers set by		parental/student	
	set). We have	England at £6000.	HEFCW (subject to		income). Better	
	experienced a situation	In determining the	confirmation on		procedures for part	
	whereby 30% of the 'fee	basic fee rate	recruitment)?		time students.	
	grant' was retained by the	level, the existing				
	franchising HEI in	funding package				
	addition to 30% of the	(ie, what is				
	HEFCW funding. Our	currently HEFCW				
	view is that the fee needs	teaching grant				
	to be with the provider to	and student fee)				
	pay for direct costs,	needs to be				
	development and to	considered in				
	ensure the quality of	relation to this.				
	provision, ie, supporting	Only when				
	front line services. 2.	institutions set fee				
	Institutions charging over	levels that will				
	and above the basic fee	attract income				
	rate need to set out	over and above				
	student entitlement. We	what is the norm				
	are convinced that as	currently, should				
	higher fees are charged,	additional fee				
	student expectations will	plans be required				
	increase and students will					
	need reassurance about					
	levels of service, delivery					
	and support etc they can					
	expect. 3. We are					
	mindful that the Minister					

		T	
has already announced			
that access to the new			
fee regime, will be			
dependent on HEI			
reconfiguration (with 6			
HEIs being preferred).			
We would assume			
(unless informed			
otherwise) that our			
membership of the Dual			
Sector University with			
TSD (and other partners			
in SWW) addresses this			
issue from a directly			
funded FEI perspective.			
This has required			
significant adjustment for			
us and a refocusing of			
our partnerships within			
region. 4. As is the			
practice currently,			
institutions need to set			
out the financial			
assistance that will be			
available for students by			
means of bursaries etc.			
The issue of FT fees and			
PT fees needs to be			
resolved, identifying what			
the expectations are for			
PT students in future.			

Peter HEIs should have strong, Haughton mutually binding, legal Denbighshire contracts with all County applicants to ensure that Council the expected level of service, including tuition, is provided by them and that participation by students is satisfactory. In the days of Mandatory Student Grants, there were three rates of fee for home students based upon the nature of the course. Purely academic lecture room based the latter plus a significant element of lab and / or field work or Medical, Dental or Veterinary. is а certain There correlation with respect to the tuition regime and

scheme

considering?

expected earnings with

the three basic course

models; would a similar

be

worth

One advantage might be that it would attract more applicants Welsh HEIs. giving them the opportunity to accept only those with the highest entry qualifications. This would ensure the selection of a base line student population with the highest academic potential. Different rates of fees would potentially cause confusion to applicants filling in either paper or online applications for student support. definitive set of criteria that would fit all situations and establishments would be difficult to determine as it could be subject to many differing factors. For instance one HEI

Cut out the suggested HEFCW involvement from the equation and administer all the tuition fee support in the same way through the LAs as the existing residual Tuition Fee Grants. This would ensure that the appropriate support would be available to students studying in all UK domiciles as the LAs already have a proven track record for delivering the service.

Whilst not having details of the Board membership If WAG are running true to recent form it primarily be at the strategic level ". I would however strongly recommend the involvement of a iudicious mix of both strategic and operational stakeholders. The devil is quite often in the detail with respect to the delivery of student support and it potentially dangerous to have theorists in charge of the development and implementation process without also actively consulting on the operational viability of the proposals with those who currently and in the future will be expected to deliver the support.

that the Ensure Student Loans Company's. Protocol software is actually fully fit for purpose. Ensure that the course fees are hard coded into the HEI course data base to ensure the correct level displayed on the application online and relevant sections of the LA data entry screens.

Given the lower rate of fees in Wales is there not a possibility of higher numbers of EU students taking advantage of this to the detriment of home students. If this indeed proved to be the case would a cap on the number of EU students in Welsh **HEIs** be considered? Given the increasing cost of studying in the UK would the Minister give consideration to funding cheaper comparable and appropriately accredited courses at overseas institutions?

might have a particular faculty that has a world class reputation for excellence compared to another with a mediocre reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
that has a world class reputation for excellence compared to another with a medicore reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
class reputation for excellence compared to another with a mediocre reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
for excellence compared to another with a mediocre reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
compared to another with a medicore reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
another with a mediocre reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
another with a mediocre reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
mediocre reputation and both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
both would be offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
offering a course with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
with the same qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
qualification. Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
Should one be allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
allowed to charge a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
a premium on their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
their fees because of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
of their reputation for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
for excellence and the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
the additional opportunities they offer? The performance of
opportunities they offer? The performance of
offer? The performance of
performance of
HEIs should be
closely monitored
and action taken
against those who
are performing
badly. Basically
students would be
expected to pay
the appropriate
rate of fee for the
level of service
provided. It would
then be up to the
HEI perform to the

expected standard		
in order to gain		
and retain the		
right to charge		
higher rate fees.		
Conversely those		
that continue to		
underperform		
could be		
compelled to		
reduce or refund a		
proportion of their		
tuition fees to		
those students		
they have failed.		
The other option		
in this scenario is		
the possibility of		
partial or full		
tuition fee waivers		
for eligible		
students		
undertaking		
unsupported		
periods of repeat		
study due to a		
failure on the part		
of their HEI.		

Dr David Grant Under the proposed new Cardiff fee system the overall level of funding for University teaching will stav approximately the same as at present but the burden of cost will be shifted significantly from the state to the student. As such it seems appropriate that the fee plan to access the new fee regime should relate predominantly to the student experience and other aspects of For our Future and the HEFCW Corporate Strategy relevant to the student experience (including access), to widening ensure that students are receiving a good value education for their increased investment and that the additional cost does not discourage students from low income backgrounds from entering higher education. Cardiff continues to work towards all areas of the For our Future and the **HEFCW Strategy and will** demonstrate an

appropriate contribution

While there may be some superficial attraction in setting a basic rate at a lower level the consequences could be serious and would need to be carefully considered. From marketing perspective having a lower basic fee rate than England would Welsh make higher education look cheap to non-Welsh students and may therefore pull in greater numbers of applications from beyond Wales, but under the proposed arrangements for tuition fee compensation, the lower fee level would offer no competitive advantage in attracting Welsh students.

Whilst the previous system that was established with the Student Loans' Company had some initial difficulties it worked relatively well once established. Α transaction directly between HEFCW and universities would require one or other to undertake eliaibility assessment for each Welsh-domiciled student (this check is currently done by the SLC/LEA as part of the statutory student support assessment). would be unlikely to be cost-effective to require this assessment of eligibility to be undertaken bγ **HEFCW** or universities. Careful attention will need to be paid to detailed student communications on

this matter.

example, under the

For

HEIs have a major delivering role in student finance and together with their role in providing advice to students this means that they are well placed to work together with WAG and HEFCW on the effective introduction of new student finance measures. It is therefore disappointing to note that there is no HE representative on the Programme Delivery Board. We would urge the board to draw on the expertise of education higher institutions at appropriate stages in its discussions in order to ensure that appropriate recognition is given to the impact that the implementation of the Assembly Government's new fee proposals will have upon both HEIs and their students. Workshops on specific

topics may indeed be

No comment made

We believe that it would appropriate for fee plans to be reviewed after Α three years. timescale for review any shorter than this would not allow sufficient for time institutions to be able to show progress against their targets and would be unduly bureaucratic to We implement. would expect that any arrangements that are introduced need to be appropriate for the medium to long term. Any short term measures will inevitably lead to confusion for students and HEIs, and be wasteful of the resources necessary to develop and implement the new system (in

to all priority areas. Input targets, such as money spent in support of a particular activity, are not an effective way of measuring progress as there is no guarantee for WAG/HEFCW that the desired outcomes will transpire. It should also be noted that the new fee regime will be delivering little or no additional funds to the HE sector when combined with HEFCW cuts and so it would not be reasonable to expect institutions to be making significant additional expenditure as part of their fee plans. Outcome targets would be a better way of monitoring progress than financial expenditure targets and would ensure that real change against WAG priorities is delivered. Universities already have a set of national targets set out in the HEFCW Corporate Strategy, against which they are monitored. It would be appropriate for a simple set of targets to form the basis both of the

Meanwhile given the parameters within which the HE fundina methodology for 2012/13 onwards is being planned (ie that no HEI would be worse off under the new system than it would otherwise have been) HEFCW would need to find balancing funding to compensate the institution for the lower fee rate charged to non-Welsh students. If the HEFCW "institutional subsidy" is automatic and ensures that any institution choosing to set lower rates is compensated for the absence new fee income there could be perverse consequences. We understand WAG that

previous tuition fee grant introduced in 2007 students were asked what fee they were being charged filling when out forms to be assessed for financial support. However the wording of the question led to significant confusion for Welshdomiciled students it was not clear whether they were being asked for the figure before or after the tuition fee grant had been taken into account. This often resulted in students needing to resubmit funding applications to their LEAs, delays in registration and to funding received. Such delays can cause distress to students and а serious administrative problem for universities. The Assembly

Government should

useful, as may task groups of experts from the sector and other organisations to look at specific issues. The exact mechanism of consultation is perhaps not as important as ensuring that, if there are issues which are going to affect universities. those institutions are aiven sufficient opportunity to make a genuine contribution to the discussion and help the Assembly Government and HEFCW to deliver processes and policies that are fit for purpose.

WAG. **HEFCW** and the sector). We do. however. recognise that these are major changes to the fee and student finance arrangements that are being introduced. The policies introduced and accompanying funding mechanisms should therefore be kept under scrutiny to ensure they are fit for purpose and a comprehensive review be scheduled after a suitable period of operation. Provision of a quality student experience has different costs in different subject areas. It must be recognised that while the substantial planned increases in student fees

fee plans and for HEFCW Corporate Strategy (with any adjustments that are necessary) and for the fee planning process to be linked to the existing process for monitoring performance against the HEFCW Corporate Strategy and the delivery of For our Future. The link between the introduction of the new fee regime and the drive for reconfiguration and collaboration needs to be expressed carefully; any plan provisions relating to reconfiguration need to explained in a way that ensure that the student experience remains at the heart of the requirements on institutions. Any targets relating to reconfiguration in fee plans might therefore be linked to **HEFCW** Corporate Strategy target 11 and of institutions whether they have, or are moving towards, a critical mass sufficient to assure a high quality student experience, an acceptable of range

modelling work for the sector has shown that the average fee level necessary to allow historical funding to levels be maintained is ca. £6000. There is a significant risk that setting a basic fee rate lower than K£6 would be financially burdensome WAG. The lower the fees across the sector the higher the level of institutional subsidy that **HEFCW** would need to provide if it were to balance the funding with historical levels. Meanwhile, institutions setting fees in excess of K£7 to maintain and develop the quality of the student experience would receive little or no "institutional subsidy" for their

that all ensure statutory forms make clear the information to be provided by Welsh domiciled students in relation to the fees that they are paying. The involvement of university staff in drawing up detailed communications to students on financial support matters will be vital if all complexities are to be addressed. Issues such dates for withdrawal from courses and eligibility for payment of all or a proportion of fees do differ between institutions and will need to be clarified in advance to all students.

shift substantially burden of the funding from the state to the individual student. at the even maximum level of fees the full cost of education in strategically important subjects science, engineering, medicine and dentistry for example - will not be met from student fees alone. Assurance is sought from WAG and HEFCW on how such subjects will still be supported in Wales in а manner which ensures a high quality student experience can still be funded and delivered. We are very conscious that the delivery expectations of students will increase as they pay more for their educational provision in both breadth and depth and student services and support appropriate to student needs and appropriate to their mission. For a university like Cardiff this would ensure that the particular demands of a research-led teaching experience were reflected.

Using a target of this type would also ensure that past reconfiguration activity is acknowledged within the fee plan provisions.

efforts but also would find that there was а reducing balance of funding available from HEFCW to support high-cost strategic subjects. We are not supportive of a lower rate being set as we believe is there significant danger of widening the funding gap between English and Welsh higher education even further, compromising the quality of student experience and of creating the perception at large of a cheap and underfunded HE sector in Wales. Maintaining parity of funding and parity of esteem with England is vital for universities that

education. We are also aware that the proposals under consideration may not actually ensure any additional funding to the universities to deliver on those expectations. We would therefore argue that the responsibilities of HEFCW to reflect the differing costs of teaching in subject areas be maintained HEFCW teaching funding for universities must continue to reflect different the subject mixes and cost of provision those at universities and not simply be modelled on an historical cost basis.

recruit from		
across the UK.		
Should, however,		
the decision be		
taken that the		
standard rate be		
lowered or		
removed in		
Wales, we would		
argue that any		
institution		
choosing to		
charge fees at		
below the		
standard rate		
agreed in England		
(anticipated to be		
K£6) do so at their		
own risk. Any		
institutional		
subsidy that is		
paid to		
universities in		
Wales should be		
limited and		
calculated against		
the assumption of		
all institutions		
having charged		
fees at least at the		
standard rate in		
England.		

Kym Roberts	Skill Wales urges the	The concern of	No comment made.	No comment made.	No comment made.	No .	comment
Skill Wales	Welsh Assembly	Skill Wales for				made.	
	Government to state their	those HEIs who					
	expectations of HEIs	wish to retain fees					
	(planning to set tuition	at lower than the					
	fees above the fee rate)	revised fee level,					
	for widening access	is that conversely,					
	strategies and action, for	access to higher					
	the specific participation,	education by					
	support and progression	young disabled					
	of disabled students. This	people could be					
	will include putting in	affected					
	place, action based	adversely. We					
	monitoring mechanisms.	seek re-assurance					
	Statistics show that over	that the access to					
	50% (ONS 2009) of	higher education					
	disabled people are	for young disabled					
	unemployed, while the	people will be					
	economic fate of young	secured and					
	disabled people is	promoted.					
	significantly equalised						
	through access to higher						
	education, where						
	differences in						
	employment rates reduce						
	to within a narrow						
	percentile. This is						
	ultimately significant to						
	the social justice drivers						
	of the Welsh Assembly						
	Government, and the						
	economy of Wales, and						
	the work being						
	undertaken in relation to						
	NEET. It is imperative						
	therefore, that young						
	disabled people						

1 -	participate and succeed n higher education.					
Higher Education Liaison officers Association (HELOA in Wales)	The fee plan conditions hat should be imposed on HEIs is a matter that our members feel should be addressed by our individual institutions, in consultation with the assembly Government and HEFCW.	HELOA Wales cannot see any real advantages of implementing a fee rate lower than that proposed by the Minster for Education. Given the reductions in public funding for higher education over the coming years, the raising of the basic fee rate would appear to be the most likely mechanism of bridging this shortfall to ensure that the HE sector in Wales continues to deliver excellence in teaching, research and the	HELOA Wales feels that the issues regarding reducing the administrative burdens of the fee grant scheme on HEIs and HEFCW is a debate best advanced through consultation with individual HEIs and their finance offices.	HELOA Wales would welcome the opportunity to feed into the Programme Delivery Board. Our members have regular contact with the vast majority of post-16 education providers in Wales. We are therefore on the 'front-line' with regard to communicating the emerging student financial provision to students considering entry into higher education. We feel that for further discussions and engagement to be most fruitful, consultations should take place on a number of different levels: Local	HELOA Wales feel that any changes to the existing Student Finance Wales mechanisms should be developed so that the process is as simple and non-burdensome for the end user as is possible. Timely publication of the student finance provision (e.g. maintenance loan thresholds) would reduce some of the anxieties amongst students and parents concerned about the financial aspects of entry into HE. We feel that publication of student financial provision should be	There is a considerable risk that we could find ourselves at a significant marketing disadvantage if fee levels in Wales are not published before or shortly after similar announcements from HEIs in England. Students wishing to enter HE in 2012 are likely to be conducting their initial research over the coming months, so it is vital that Welsh HEIs are able to publish their

student	(individual HEIs)	made available in	thresholds at the
	(individual HEIs),		
experience.	Regional (HEI	the January of the	earliest
Every necessary	partnerships), and	year of entry, with	opportunity.
step should be	National (stakeholder	details readily	
taken by the	organisations).	available on SFW	
Assembly		website.	
Government and			
HEFCW to ensure			
that the exiting			
funding gap			
between England			
and Wales does			
not widen in the			
coming years. Our			
members are			
concerned about			
the potential for			
fee pricing			
becoming			
inextricably linked			
with quality. For			
example, if one			
institution was to			
charge £9,000 per			
year and another			
to charge £7,000,			
would a student			
looking at the			
figures			
automatically			
assume that the			
'cheaper' HEI			
offered less			
quality? In the			
event that there			
are differences in			
2012/13 fee levels			

across Wales, this price:quality perception is something that will need addressing by HEIs, HEFCW and the Assembly		
Government.		

Helen Jeffery,	No comment made.	Advantages: A	No comment made.	No comment made.	We currently offer a	No	comment
Management	i vo comment made.	Advantages: A lower rate in	ino comment made.	INO COMMINENT MADE.	HE provision which	made.	Comment
Accountant		Wales will give the			is franchised	made.	
Coleg Gwent		students a chance			through two		
Coleg Gwerit		to repay their debt			Universities. Our		
		in line with their			students apply to		
		expected future			Student Finance		
		income levels.			Wales for help with		
		The lower fee will			their costs, but we		
		encourage Welsh			are not allowed to		
		resident students			contact Student		
		to remain in			Finance directly to		
		Wales.			discuss our		
		Disadvantages: If			student's fees. It		
		the fee is lower,					
		then there may be			would be very useful for Colleges		
		_					
					to be able to contact		
		English students			Student Finance		
		who want to			directly when we		
		attend University			have a query		
		but do not want to			regarding one of our		
		pay the inflated			student's fees or		
		fees charged in			discover that they		
		England. These			have applied using		
		students may			incorrect course		
		move back to			information. This		
		England after			would ensure that		
		completing their			any corrections		
		course meaning a			required by Student		
		potential loss of			Finance would be		
		skilled employees			dealt with promptly		
		and income.			thereby releasing		
					the payments and		
					reducing the		
					administration work		
					at both ends.		

Elaine Moore Alliance of Sector Skills Councils	Publication of an Employability Strategy, with details of the content to enable students to see how this issue is articulated. The obligation to publish data on origin of student intake if they plan to charge more and to demonstrate how the commitment to Widening Access is to be maintained.	Advantages: Attract greater diversity of students; Retain more Welsh- educated students. Disadvantages: (Appear to) Undercut other universities; Create 'market' rivalry on the basis of fees not standards. Criteria: No disadvantage caused to p/t students	We very much support the principle that the funding should always be linked to the individual student and not the course followed nor the institution attended. This should enable appropriate adjustments to be made in future as required and enable data to be generated that shows the impact overtime of differential fees on the cohort of students who study at various HEIs	Not set up additional mechanisms but use existing channels and structures.	Perhaps some worked through examples could be provided to illustrate different scenarios as they might affect a range of individuals to ensure that Welsh students understand what it means for them if an HEI decides to charge more.	The principles being applied in WAG's proposals are about ensuring equality of opportunity and support to widening access for a greater range of students. In making proposals about funding systems, it is important to ensure that inadvertent consequences can be quickly addressed and regulations changed if necessary. This may require a more holistic approach than the checking of figures and funding arrangements implies.
--	---	---	---	--	--	---

SIMON	No comment made.	We ask that WAG	No comment made.	No comment made.	No comment made.	We would like the
PHILLIPS,	No comment made.	undertake detailed	ino comment made.	No comment made.	No comment made.	Minister, when
SAM HEAL &	1	market research				considering
						_
ALLISON	1	within Wales in				responses to this
JONES	1	order to				Consultation, to
UNIVERSITY	1	understand better				also take into
OF WALES,	1	the impact that				account the
NEWPORT	1	increased tuition				reduction in the
	1	fees may have on				Financial
	1	peoples'				Contingency Fund
	1	perception of the				budget. Newport
	1	financial				is part of a
	1	accessibility of				Financial
	1	higher education.				Contingency Fund
	1	Does WAG know				Administrators
	!	what levels of				Group which
	1	debt aversion				undertook a
	1	people in Wales				survey that was
	1	have and how will				submitted to the
	1	these perceptions				Assembly in 2007.
	!	impact on their				The results of this
	1	higher education				survey
	1	and vocational				demonstrated the
	1	aspirations?				advantage of a
	1					locally
	1					administered
	1					hardship fund. It
	1					proved that a
	1					client centred
	1					approach, which is
	1					accessible and
	1					able to respond
	,					individual
	1					circumstances
	,					maximises the
	1					
	1					
						FCF has on the

		retention and
		progression of
		students. There
		has not been a
		reduction to date
		in Further
		Education FCF,
		yet there are a
		high number of FE
		students studying
		at Newport, who
		need support with
		childcare in
		particular and
		disability costs,
		since there are
		ineligible to claim
		Disabled
		Student's
		Allowance. A
		large proportion of
		the fund is also
		spent on helping
		students to cover
		the cost of
		diagnostic tests,
		which is not
		funded by Local
		Education
		Authorities. In
		order that Newport
		is able to retain
		students who
		experience
		unexpected and
		emergency
		situations, it is

						vital that FCF remain at its current level so that these students can be supported.
Professor Noel G Lloyd, Vice- Chancellor; Aberystwyth University	The new tuition fee regime provides the opportunity for HEIs to make further progress in achieving the strategic priorities contained in For Our Future. At institutional level, AU's strategic planning takes account of these priorities, and we recognise our responsibility to account transparently to the WAG for the use of public monies and to explain how we are contributing to the Government's objectives. We understand the arguments in favour of some reconfiguration of the HE sector. We have	major WAG policy priorities of supporting a buoyant economy and improving social justice.	We would wish to assist in every way possible to minimise the administrative burden and associated costs and consequently to maximise the resources which can be used to deliver an excellent student experience including a high quality learning experience. Exploring the ways to minimise the amount of duplication should be given a high priority. We would propose that the scheme should be designed to make	We believe that it would be helpful to involve representatives of the sector in order to ensure that unintended consequences are avoided. We appreciate that the timetable to deliver the objectives is necessarily restricted. Using electronic means to improve the flow of information would be a sensible way to facilitate the consultation process aimed at addressing the issues involved. Using the expertise already available in	We support the principle underpinning the question and perhaps an external review of the operation of Student Finance Wales would be appropriate.	No comment made.

had experience of a disadvantage of a maximum use of the sector could be number of mergers – with lower basic fee existing facilitated by the use the Welsh Agricultural would be that mechanisms, of a dedicated website e.g. College, the Welsh S.L.C. and Student students would attached to the College of Librarianship eventually find the Finance Wales. existing site e.g. and, recently, IGER. Like student HEFCW. all institutions, we have a experience in portfolio of approaches to Welsh **HEIs** working with others. In degraded and some cases - and IGER inevitably is an example - merger is therefore move in larger and larger the appropriate mechanism. In others an numbers to study in English HEIs. agreement on strategic collaboration is the way Given the forward. commitment of We established the WAG to provide a Research and Enterprise non-means-tested Partnership with Bangor grant to cover the University because we balance over and were convinced that above the current collaboration of this kind fee levels there would be was necessary establish the range of positive incentive expertise required to be Welsh for internationally domiciled competitive in research, students to study and we are pleased with outside Wales in the successes that has order to benefit been achieved. We are from a student committed to broadening experience and deepening the supported by a fee regime funded partnership with Bangor at anything up to we are working together to take this forward. The say £4,000 per relationship with Bangor capita greater is an important one, but it | than that available

in Welsh HEIs if is not exclusive, and Aberystwyth University is the basic fee was open to discussing with permitted to be other institutions ways in below £6,000. which we can work At present Wales, together in order to and in particular the economy, has deliver the strategic objectives of HEFCW. the benefit both of the majority of Indeed we are keen to establish more Welsh domiciled partnerships in Wales students studying and beyond, but it is at Welsh HEIs essential that these are together with a substantial focused, have clearly net defined objectives, are inflow of English based on mutual benefit, domiciled with real efficiencies and students relative synergies. to the outflow We also note the rapid Welsh domiciled and extensive progress students. being made within our relative loss of region of Mid and North resourcing. as Wales, for which we are compared to the lead partner. These English regional developments institutions, with are all taking place on the resulting the basis of the policies inevitable effect announced by HEFCW, on the student and are already having a experience substantial impact upon Welsh HEIs would the planning and the be highly funding of HE, through disadvantageous. We note currently the allocation of funded numbers to reflect that in the in National Student success reconfiguration. Survey the The graduate contribution | average three

needs to be such that, as in score year a minimum, total income Wales over the following any decrease in period 2007-2009 direct public support will was ahead of the not be reduced and will average in English be similar to that HEIs (Welsh HEIs available to Universities average 83.3%; UK HEIs average in other parts of the UK. It is an important principle 81.7%). that institutions in Wales The substantial must be able to ensure fall in the quality that the provision which of provision in Welsh HEIs as a they offer is of a least the same quality as that consequence available in comparator the reduction in resourcing institutions elsewhere in the UK and that levels of available student satisfaction compared to the remain high. current level In response to the total resource, specific questions on a (comprising plan in student tuition fee. the consultation, we suggest HEFCW recurrent that an element of the and capital funding), will also difference between total resource per student impact negatively available following the on introduction the International of competitiveness. graduate contribution and that available currently International should be used to deliver students - who the strategic priorities provide benefit to contained in For Our the Welsh economy - will be Future. Currently the total resource consists of deterred from the existing fee together coming to study in with the average unit of Wales. The loss

funding per full-time resource student and the capital resulting from the funding provided, imposition of a expressed on a per lower basic rate capita, basis by HEFCW. would therefore In the existing fee plan, impact negatively designed when the on a key priority of current student fee was WAG of established at а sustaining а maximum of £3,000, buoyant economy. there is a requirement to Furthermore, the devote 30% of the consequent additional income to the movement of support of WAG strategic larger numbers of priorities. Welsh-domiciled We suggest that under students into this proposal a proportion England noted of the order of 30% of the above will additional net income inevitably mean should be used to provide that those who are for the further unable to move to study will of be enhancement particularly responses to For Our negatively Future priorities, affected. including: Additional improvements Students from in the student experience poorer measured by the NSS; background are Developments to further more likely to enhance the skills of choose to study graduates in order to close to home. improve their career Therefore а prospects: Enhanced consequence the policy would knowledge transfer: Enhanced Research be to impact including adversely on the performance Research Grant Capture. policy objective of

	The provisions relating to guidance for the planning should, of course, ensure the delivery of the twin priorities for Higher Education in Wales which are enhancing social justice and supporting a buoyant economy.	WAG namely achieving greater social justice.				
Gwawr Hughes Skillset (SSC) The Sector Skills Council for Creative Minds	The Creative Industries is one of six key priority sectors identified by the Welsh Assembly Government in its Economic Renewal Programme and the Hargreaves' Creative Industries Strategy. We therefore believe that Higher Education has an important role to play in driving forward the creative industries, responding to employer needs. 'For Our Future - The 21st Century Higher Education Strategy and Plan for Wales' specifies that it wants to see: "education services, which is designed with	If the financial model works, we believe that those courses which can be delivered effectively at a lower basic fee rate should be considered whenever possible. However for those subject areas that cost more to deliver and are of economic importance to Wales such as those for the Creative Media industries, exceptional	We agree with the proposal that the fee grant follows the individual student and is paid to the institution of choice.	As mentioned previously, For Our Future makes it clear that the Welsh Assembly Government wants Higher Education provision to be designed with the employer and business in mind and that Sector Skills Councils have a key role in taking this agenda forward. The Government's Economic Renewal Programme has also specifically identified the Creative Industries as a priority sector for the Welsh economy. We therefore believe	We believe that the Student Finance Wales process should enforce baseline standards of quality and that students receive high quality information to help them choose the HEI and courses which best matches their aspirations. For example, courses that are Accredited by the industry through Skillset should be brought to the attention of students when choosing their courses. This	No comment supplied

employer and business in mind, and a supply of learning and services which is better informed about employer and business needs. This should take account of the differing contexts of small, medium and large employers and, drawing on the work of the Wales Employment and Skills Board and the Sector Skills Councils (SSCs) and others". As the Sector Skills Council for the Creative Media Industries Skillet has been proactive with the HE sector in Wales and has established effective mechanisms for ensuring provision is led and informed by industry. We have devised a system of accrediting courses in subjects across Creative Media Industries including computer games, animation, film production and digital media. So far, we have approved 4 such courses in Wales and aim to expand such accreditation in the near future. Where institutions

funding should be given to those courses by whatever means possible on par with STEM subjects.

that the Programme Delivery Board should either have Sector Skills Council representation on it or that effective structures are established for consultation with those SSC's where Higher Education have been identified key as partners in addressing the economic needs of their sectors, such as Skillset.

industry accreditation should be seen equivalent to the professional bodies' accreditation. industry accreditation will provide a strong signal and clear signpost to students that this particular course they are choosing has got that industry recognition and backing. And with such a wide range of courses on offer in these subjects and the variable quality, we feel that this will support informed consumer choice, especially in the creative content industries with high growth economic potential.

offer a range of courses			
that together interlink to			
provide an			
interdisciplinary approach			
to skills and knowledge,			
and they have strong			
links with the industry, we			
also approved HE			
institutes as Skillset			
Academies. We have an			
active Academy in Wales			
which is supported by			
industry and HEFCW. HE			
courses accredited by			
Skillset have technology			
as an integral component			
which involves STEM			
subjects. Our approval			
also means that			
employers can target			
their support in a variety			
of ways including			
involvement in design,			
delivery and in some			
cases by providing			
bursaries, scholarships			
and internships. Our			
industries need and will			
use high calibre			
graduates. The Skillset			
accredited courses are			
however at the expensive			
end of the current			
banding system. We			
therefore believe that			
Skillset Accredited			
courses within HE			

		I	
institutes in Wales should			
be able to receive			
"exceptional funding" with			
parity alongside STEM			
related subjects. Our fear			
is that without this			
funding, higher education			
institutions will not be			
able to offer these more			
expensive courses at the			
high standards that			
industry requires and the			
very education base			
needed for a growing part			
of the economy will be			
lost, together with the			
creative media industries'			
confidence and support in			
the higher education			
system and their appetite			
to co-invest and build on			
the strong foundations we			
have established. The			
Skillset accreditation			
process should form part			
of the fee planning			
process proposed and			
provisions relating to			
reconfiguration of HE and			
other For Our Future			
strategic priorities.			
Indeed, Skillset via its			
accreditation of courses			
is already addressing the			
strategic priority within			
For Our Future which			
relates to HE meeting the			

	manufaction A					
	needs of industry. As a					
	Sector Skills Council with					
	a strong employer voice					
	in Wales, we want the					
	Welsh Assembly					
	Government to show					
	commitment to the					
	accreditation of industry					
	courses and their					
	exceptional financing on					
	par with STEM subjects					
	moving forward.					
Phil Gough	The planning guidance	The lower basic	Although there have	The composition of the	The drive to on-line	With regard to
Swansea	should address the	fee level should	been a number of	Programme Delivery	delivery of the	reconfiguration,
University	following issues: - it will	be set at £6,000	teething problems	Board is noted. The	student finance	approval should
	not be possible to	(uplifted by GDP).	with the Student	Board will be	system should be	only be given to
	prepare fully credible fee	This will be	Loan Company	considering issues	continued.	genuine mergers
	plans without knowing	comparable with	(SLC), it is a tried	which will impact		with the potential
	how HEFCW intends to	England and will	and tested	significantly on HEIs		to release
	allocate its residual funds	give HEIs more	mechanism. It	and students. As such		resources for front
	to support teaching	flexibility to set	makes no sense to	its membership should		line services. The
	priorities; the diversity of	differential fees	introduce a high-cost	include		various group
	the sector, i.e. HEIs will	below £6,000.	parallel mechanism	representatives from		structures under
	have different objectives;		via HEFCW which	HEIs and the student		discussion in the
	access targets should		would increase	body. If the		sector only add
	take account of student		administrative costs	membership of the		layers of
	progression; clarify what		significantly. Welsh	Board cannot be		administration and
	is meant by 'willingness		students should	expanded, it is		will not be able to
	to progress swiftly to		continue to be	important that a		demonstrate value
	merger and		awarded a tuition fee	parallel stakeholder		for money. There
	reconfiguration'; how will		grant (TFG), if	group be established		is a need for
	national and international		deemed eligible by	immediately. The		mature
	collaborations be		Student Finance	stakeholder group		conversations with
	protected and		Wales (SFW), on	must include		HEIs on the
	encouraged? What		receipt of an annual	representatives of		diverse ways in
	appeal mechanism will be		student support	HEIs and students. In		which they
	put in place. How will		application. HEIs do	particular the Welsh		address social

	opportunities be provided to renegotiate fee plans? This is particularly important given the large uncertainties over the effect of fees on demand and cross-border flows; how frequently should plans be updated - every three years is suggested.		not have the level of expertise or resources to assess eligibility for the TFG or to charge varying fee rates based on a student's domicile, cohort or funding regime. The adverse impact on cash flows to HEIs will have to be addressed.	HE student finance practitioners group (WHESPG) should be represented. A further workshop should be held to consider parttime students. Representatives from employers should be invited to the workshop.		justice issues. In order to protect public investment, KPIs should be focused on the proportion of widening access students that are able to complete their courses of study.
Dewi Knight Open University	The OU in Wales recommends that the Government, when developing its plans on fee and loan regulations and related higher education finance proposals, bears in mind the commitment in For our Future of 'greater opportunities for individuals to learn on a part-time basis'. To encourage this, we wish to see arrangements which place the funding and support of those who study, or wish to study, on a part-time basis on an equal footing with those who study full-time. As recommended by the	credits) proposed in the Browne Report, which followed the department's policy impact assessment that estimated (at 33% intensity) 'around two thirds of parttime students will not be eligible for fee loans'. round 2,000 Open University students in Wales	No comment supplied.	No comment supplied.	No comment supplied.	We have some concerns that the modelling released by the Department of Children, Education, Lifelong Learning & Skills to demonstrate the 'top-slicing' of the teaching grant to cover the non-means-tested grant for full-time undergraduates doesn't explicitly state the need to consider, and then reserve, the funding needed to support high quality teaching

Department for Business Innovation & Skills, all students in England who study at the equivalent of 25% or more of a full-time course (30 credits) will be eligible for a non-means tested loan for tuition. We view this as a good template for Welsh policy, ensuring as it does, a more equal access to and grants loans, regardless of mode of study.

per year, with a further 1.000 studying at least one 30 credit course/module and another course/module. Of those studying solely a 30 point course/module, 45% are studying a STEM subject. We would also recommend that the Government considers а 'fair further access' measure by extending the eligibility grants that cover the cost of fees for students with low household incomes to those studying credits or more. Presently both the fee and course grants, dependent household income, are only available to those who study at or above 50% intensity (60 credits).

and learning for part-time students. It will be vital to ensure that there are no detrimental unintended consequences which flows from the settlement for full-time students and which diminishes the volume, range, auality and accessibility of part-time higher education. This is potential function of the fulltime arrangements being addressed in the first place but would remind the Government that with four in of ten undergraduates in Wales studying part-time, significant proportion of the teaching grant goes to ensure the best student experience possible. You will

<u></u>		 ,	
			be aware that, for
			the sixth year
			running, students
			at The Open
			University in
			Wales were more
			satisfied with the
			quality of their
			higher education
			than those at any
			other university in
			Wales, according
			to the National
			Student Survey
			2010. We would
			not like to see the
			quality and range
			of part-time
			provision reduced
			by the gap
			between funding
			support for full-
			time and part-time
			study. Helping
			deliver on the
			Government's
			principle of
			'access to higher
			education should
			be on the basis of
			the individual's
			potential to
			benefit' and the
			'secure foundation
			of social justice',
			and indication of
			the value and
			the value and

		benefit of part-
		time learning is
		that almost 40% of
		Open University
		undergraduate
		students in Wales
		join us without the
		standard
		university entry
		level qualifications
		and a quarter of
		current new
		entrants to the OU
		in Wales are from
		"low affluence"
		areas as defined
		by HEFCW. In
		support of a
		'buoyant
		economy' and
		priority economic
		renewal areas,
		more than a third
		of all OU in Wales
		student study a
		STEM subject and
		81% of all OU
		undergraduates
		work whilst
		studying,
		demonstrating that
		part-time distance
		learning can be
		the most
		convenient quality
		way of upskilling
		or reskilling, whilst

also bearing in mind part-time undergraduate students' wider economic contributions through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TuC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation UK Widening Participation UK Widening Participation UK Widening Participation Unitiative of the	 	 		
mind part-time undergraduate students' wider economic contributions through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Wildening Participation				also bearing in
undergraduate students' wider economic contributions through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TuC for its trades TuC for its trades union learners and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
students' wider economic contributions through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a Quality Award from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
economic contributions through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
contributions through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union alearner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
in Wales widens participation in learning, and in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
activities and work with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
with UNISON, which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
which has seen over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
over 700 sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
sponsored learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
learners in three years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
years, won the Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
Times Higher Education UK Widening Participation				
Education UK Widening Participation				
Widening Participation				
Participation Participation				
				Initiative of the

								Year in 2010.
Cerys Furlong NIACE National Institute of Adult Continuing Education (NIACE) Dysgu Cymru	In relation to fee plans, we hope that the Assembly Government will consider the commitment in For Our Future for 'greater opportunities for individuals to learn on a part time basis'.	No comment supplied.	No supplied.	comment	No comment supplied.	No supplied.	comment	We are concerned that failing to consider issues in relation to part time learners and learning now, while decisions are being made in relation to full time undergraduate study, could result in unintended consequences, particularly in light of constraints on resources. In all its considerations we hope that the Assembly Government will consider that with a changing demographic (an ageing society) and a volatile economic climate where many face uncertainty in employment, the opportunity to re-

						train, up-skill and change careers is increasing in importance. For many adults, part time study is the only way to do this.
Elaine Robinson	The fee plans would in part express how the	If the basic rate is lower than the	This needs to be as simple as possible. It	NASMA is an organisation with over	In terms of complexity – we	Increase in preparation for
Debra Thorne	universities charging	proposed £6K	is important that	500 professionals	would put in a plea	study to remove
NASMA -	more than the basic fee	there may be a	there are clear	working in the field of	for some stability	barriers to
National	rate aimed to put	number of issues.	guidelines in	student funding and	and less year on	learning and
Association of	practical measures in	If lower, would	advance of issues	collectively we are	year changes. The	aspiration eg
Student Money	place to increase access	there be a	eg what happens	recognised as the	number of changes	financial
Advisors	to higher education from	significant rise in	when a student	leading authority on all	over the last decade	capability. This
	underrepresented groups	applications from	transfers/withdraws/	matters relating to	has led to the	should be part of
	and further the aims of	English applicants	has an interruption	student advice and	possible co-	the curriculum and
	For Our Future. If they	which would result	to study/ has	funding. We think it is	existence of 5 or 6	compulsory in
	are to be effective, fee	in less places for	previous study?. To	essential that the	different cohorts,	schools and FE
	plans need to be explicit	Welsh domiciled	simplify, and ensure	Programme Delivery	requiring significant	colleges.
	and offer specific	students? If the	that financial	Board is also	experience and	Students will then
	guidance on what is expected and how	basic fee rate was lower it would	complications are not a barrier to a	representative of the HE sector. Face to	expertise within	be able to make
	expected and how outcomes will be	decrease student	student transferring	face consultation and	student support at HEIs in order to	informed choices and enjoy and
	measured. Will HEFCW	indebtedness but	to a more suitable	communication is very	ensure students are	benefit from
	have any power in	how would	course, common	useful eg road shows	able to make	university if they
	relation to ensuring that	universities be	guidance and an	and regional	informed choices	arrive prepared.
	fee plans are adhered to.	funded to ensure	agreed cross-HEI	stakeholder groups.	and fully understand	This would
	Will there be timely	that student	approach to fee	Road shows enable a	the financial	improve the
	monitoring of progress	experience and	liability would be	broad range of	implications of their	academic
	and how will progress be	support is not	preferable. We	practitioners as well as	decisions to, e.g,	outcome and
	measured? We would	detrimentally	recommend that	other interested	transfer, suspend or	student
	like to see measures	affected? This	Registry and	parties to attend and	withdraw.	experience. These
	which include pre-entry	policy would need	Finance Officers	contribute. NASMA		skills can also be
	aspiration raising work	to ensure that	who deal with	would be willing to	Similarly, significant	helpful throughout

and more encouragement of contextual admissions enable to more representation of State educated students. Care Leavers and other low income groups, ensure adequate hardship funds and financial capability provision both pre and post entry. Hardship funding has been reduced significantly but childcare grants only offers 85% of costs up to a maximum amount. Could universities be encouraged via the fee plan to cover the 15% shortfall as a childcare grant or bursary? HEI hardship funds could be established to support vulnerable group such as parents, care leavers, students. Will disabled the fee plans include measures for postgraduate and parttime students? E.g. If HEIs increase MA/MSc postgraduate fees in line with undergraduate fees can they also be encouraged to offer bursaries/scholarships to low income students to

government provided funding from the centre with less onus on the individual. If we are stating that the basic rate will match England we also need to actively manage and match fair access in a more rigorous way as proposed England. If the basic rate is £6K how will this be justified if the actual costs of the providing the course is less this? than ln addition, we are concerned that the £6K basic rate be will а disincentive to students from families who are debt averse. This is already clear from our experience answering queries from prospective students. We do need to get a

SFE/SLC on a daily basis as well as a student fundina expert are included in the design of any new system. These staff have a detailed understanding of the reporting, billing and attendance record management. They would be able to offer ideas to ensure the design of any new system was fit for purpose. From a student support perspective we think that students need to understand what happens if they transfer etc.(cf SCOP guidance in 2006) Clear and timely IAG will be crucial. Students will start applying for 2012 course in the near future so timing important. As stated in Q2 many HEIs have open days well in advance. We are getting many gueries about 2012 already. We would also consider helping with these if they are resourced appropriately. Given that we operate in all 4 UK regions and many NASMA colleagues England. from Scotland and NI also advise and assist students domiciled in Wales briefings for these colleagues will be needed. Could there also be roadshows for colleges/schools, students and parents? If LAs are no longer operational there will be a significant gap in the IAG work needed to be delivered to ensure that prospective students understand what financial support is available and enable them to make an informed choice. This particularly important for students non-traditional from backgrounds and households with no experience of HE

Also, with regards to

expertise is available within Local Authorities to utililse to ensure support, and correct information advice and guidance given to prospective and current students. in particular students from non-traditional backgrounds, mature students and students with additional costs/needs. children/disabilities. Local authorities also undertake significant work liaising with schools and colleges and delivering talks/providing information to their pupils. A centralised SLC based system would not provide this. lt would therefore be highly beneficial to maintain this resource if existing experienced staff and expertise were

experience

and

with informed choice in life after HE.

Consideration could be given to monthly payments of SL to enable students to survive better financially?

We are concerned as a sector with ensuring students get IAG pre-entry throughout and their time in HE we would like to ensure students а receive good from service agencies administering their loans and assessing their applications. They will have higher expectations on the back of greater investment SO we need ensure IAG is fit for purpose. Many NASMA members are concerned about this enable them to fund this area of further study which is often down to self funding or family help. Similarly, part-time students from low income backgrounds will need encouragement to study, especially if they are retraining and have previous study at HE level.

Bursaries/scholarships to address this need would be helpful. Are there to be time limits on the plans eg will they cover a 3 or 5 year period? The new access agreements in England are to be reviewed annually so that that any issues can be identified early in the new scheme and guidance issued if appropriate. Will Wales be joining England and Northern Ireland in the new Key Information Strategy (KIS) work currently underway which also enables students to compare HEIs? Depending on the future plans for the Financial Contingency Fund, would WAG wish to require HEI's to ring fence a positive message across about HE and affordability but debt aversion and fear of debt can create barriers to The aspiration. new fee grant is a positive contribution for Welsh domiciled students - we will need further clear guidance as soon as possible including domicile criteria. entitlement when student is repeating, and entitlement for those with previous HE study.This guidance required early to ensure that HEI Advisers can answer queries from prospective students. Open days for 2012 will begin as early as April to July 2011 for most HEIs. Re part-time students

recommend that students are clear about the fee loan figures they need to put on the PN and PR 1 forms. If students are not in attendance for any reason (including ill health) on Dec 1st the tuition fee loan is not activated. This leaves it up to each HEI's fee policy to determine whether they waive term one's fees. part waive them or the charge full amount. When the fees rise significantly this could create heavy burdens on some vulnerable students who may not be allowed to reenrol when they are due to return to study until they had cleared the fee debt accrued as liability. personal The fee loan should be available at an earlier date. Another issue to consider is

the point at which

devising an administratively light fee grant system, utilising the knowledge and operational of expertise HEI of members the established alreadv HEI /SLC Communications Forum would be very useful. Many members have considerable experience of the previous fee arant system, and can articulate the significant issues that they encountered. Learning from this experience would help greatly in ensuring a reduction complexity and cost.

kept within Wales rather than the proposed centralised SLC solution.

Has the effectiveness and costs of the SFW call centre been reviewed? Could WAG save money by utilising instead the considerable already expertise existing within Local Authorities to give prospective students and applicants more in depth information, advice and guidance regarding applying for funding and queries about assessments?

Student Finance
England are
introducing changes
to processes to gain
efficiencies that
aren't being
introduced in Wales.
For example, the

the LAs as the working relationship between HEI support staff and LA staff has been verv successful in addressing the needs of students quickly and with efficiently, minimal distress to the student. It is very difficult to imagine how this could be achieved а remote centralised system based outside of Wales with no of system of ownership applications. This particularly detrimental for vulnerable students with additional needs, e.g. those with children, mature students, disabled students. All are more vulnerable to leaving the course if things go wrong with their

potential loss of

specific amount of money based on student numbers to provide adequate hardship funding for their students? This ringshould fencing also include adequate human resource to manage and administer the Fund effectively. If Fee Plans are to include incentives to widen access, this should include adequate resourcing to support students fully. such from Students nonbackgrounds traditional utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to higher education and to enable them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student expectations will continue to rise, especially in the context of increased HEIs will tuition fees. need to ensure that they provide excellent student

- we know they should have access to fee loans but if courses are half the full undergraduate price this could be disincentive especially to those who may be reskilling after having a degree from years ago and may not be able to access a fee loan. This is within not the scope of this consultation but any fee rise may need to result in a review of current PCDLs. We do not know if the fee rises will place a burden on the NHS for Nursing degree and healthcare courses so some quidance on this would be appreciated.

the new fee grant comes into operation ie will the loan pay the fees first and the new fee grant later? **HEFCW** administered the fee grant scheme could it be paid in one instalment? If so what month would it be paid? This is an area for consultation with HEIs. The advantages of using the SLC is that they have set up systems already but previous experience administration of the old tuition fee grant has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration with relevant operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these One difficulties. suggestion that could be considered to minimise the administrative burden on HEIs is reducing significantly the number

non-means tested rollover and HMRC data share. Both should reduce the administrative burden and turnaround time of applications. Perhaps SFW should be adopting these too.

Re-doubling efforts to attain alignment with UCAS would lead to efficiencies. assessment as the stakes are higher in their personal lives. Swift resolution to problems, and a friendly face helping them with this at the HEI are very important.

The need to ensure any IAG materials for 2012 are produced and validated by sector experts and are free from ambiguity and spin.

		T	T	1		
	regarding fee liability. We					
	suggest that HEFCW					
	consider the requirement					
	that HEIs sign up to a					
	common approach to					
	minimise financial					
	barriers to changing					
	unsuitable courses and					
	enable students to make					
	informed choices when					
	transferring/changing					
	courses.					
Sam	People associate price	Tuition Fees in	Any change to	Is the voice of FE and	Review the	There is still
McIlvogue	with quality, a higher	Wales should not	administration must	Employers adequately	withdrawal	misunderstanding
Coleg	value is placed on more	be set lower than	not be detrimental to	represented on the	procedures for	amongst students
Llandrillo	expensive items or	England for the	the cash flow of the	Board?	undergraduates.	in Wales about the
Cymru	goods. Differentiation in	reasons stated in	HEI.		Improve the general	differences
,	fees may cause people to	Q1.		Participation of and	awareness of	between the
	make a value judgement		Consideration	engagement with	students regarding	Welsh and English
	regarding the qualification		should be given to	pupils in year 12 & 13,	their responsibility	HE fees scheme.
	and interpret higher	We would	the direct funded	parents, FE level 3	for repaying any	This could have
	tuition fees to mean	welcome more	FEIs when	students and	funding if they	an unnecessary
	academic excellence and	guidance on the	developing the	employers is important	withdraw from their	adverse impact on
	a better student	fee structure for	scheme.	to ensure that all	programme of	Welsh students
	experience thus creating	part time		views are heard and	study.	HE aims. We
	a quality benchmark	undergraduate		represented.	·	would recommend
	based upon perception.	studies.		·	In our experience,	a campaign to
		Guidance may			LEAs provide	raise awareness
	Institutions should be	suggest that HEIs			excellent	and
	allowed to agree their	use a pro rata			information and	understanding.
	own fee structure to	model when			advisory support	
	capitalise on areas of	setting the part			services to students	
	excellence.	time fees thus			and institutions.	
		lessening the			Any changes in the	
	Higher fees and fee	differential			administration of the	
	differentiation could also	between part time			scheme must	
	have a negative effect on	and full time fees			continue to ensure	

	1.11 4 1 1 1	Ī		
collaboration and	whilst also placing		effectiveness and	
potentially damage the	a real comparative		efficiency.	
widening participation				
agenda. Therefore it	qualification.			
would a useful strategy to				
ensure those who want to	There is concern			
charge higher fees have	that as HEIs set			
plans in place for	part time fees			
widening access, as is	using a pro rata			
planned in England.	model the cost of			
·	part time fees will			
	increase quite			
	substantially. A			
	£6k tuition fee			
	equates to a 10			
	credit module			
	costing £500.			
	This is a			
	significant			
	increase in the			
	current level of			
	part time fees for			
	some bodies.			
	We suggest that			
	WAG should			
	clearly define			
	what is meant by			
	`intensity of			
	study'. Current			
	guidance refers to			
	having an upper			
	band of intensity			
	set as 75% or			
	more. This upper			
	intensity band			
	should have a			

ceiling e.g. 90%		
so that institutions		
can clearly		
communicate to		
prospective		
students what is		
part time and what		
is full time.		
No mention has		
been made		
regarding the		
continuation of the		
part time Fee and		
Course Grant.		
What changes will		
be made to the		
financial support		
that is available to		
students? As fees		
are set to increase		
will WAG also		
increase the level		
of financial		
support available		
for part time		
undergraduate		
students? The PT		
Fee Grant needs		
to be		
proportionate to		
the PT Fees		
institutions may		
charge therefore		
WAG would need		
to increase the		
amount of support		
 11		

		currently available.				
Mary Curnock Cook UCAS	Applicants to Welsh Universities come from a wide spread of geographical locations across the UK, Europe and the rest of the world. In the interests of providing all learners with the widest range of potential HE options across the UK, it makes sense to maintain, as far as practicable, a level playing field in relation to outreach, access and widening participation activities. Therefore, although fee plans will reflect Welsh strategic priorities, it would also be desirable for the plans to take account of the principles underpinning access agreements for English institutions wishing to charge more than £6,000 per annum. In addition, it would be sensible for fee plans to build on existing institutional outreach, access and WP activities	possible fee rates should be informed by evidence on the application behaviours of Welsh domiciled learners, and the behaviour of applicants applying to Welsh institutions. Wales is a net importer of students. UCAS end-of-year data for 2010 show that 30,686 applicants applied to study at Welsh institutions; of these the	No comment.	UCAS would wish to be consulted directly and involved in the relevant high level discussions around the process for the approval of fee plans by HEFCW, particularly any discussions about the process and timetable for the publication of tuition fees information (see question 6). We would also be willing to participate in any of the relevant workshops.	UCAS is working with the Student Loans Company to explore the scope for a single application portal which would allow learners to apply for higher education courses and student finance at the same time. This would give applicants a simpler and more efficient means to submit all of the information they need to apply to higher education and access student finance. UCAS would like to explore with the SLC and Student Finance Wales what opportunities they might be to better support Welsh domiciled students and other studying in Wales.	The consultation emphasises the importance of the new funding arrangements being in place for the 2012-13 academic year. We wish to draw attention to a number of issues around the timing of decisions and release of information on any new student finance arrangements in order to meet this challenging timetable. In many respects the entry cycle for admissions to universities and colleges in autumn 2012 is already underway. Potential applicants are starting to research higher

in Wales	such as
widening	access
premiums	for
	s First areas,
Reaching	Wider
	s, POLAR2
participation	
•	earning Grant
•	tudents. Fee
	also usefully
-	dance on the
•	high-quality, information
about inst	
their course	
then course	onenings.

1,964 (6.4%) international (non EU)	
Of these, accepted applicants, out of a total of 25,162, were: 12,178 (48.4%)	
Welsh domiciled 10,469 (41.6%) English domiciled 55 (0.2%) Scottish domiciled 169 (0.7%) NI	
domiciled 1,044 (4.1%) EU- other 1,247 (5.0%) international (non EU)	
Welsh domiciled applicants (total 24,908) applied to, and were accepted (total 18,671) by the following institutions:	
15,927 (63.9%) applicants to Welsh institutions,	

12,178

accepts

(65.2%)

other

education options and are looking for advice from a wide range of sources. In March UCAS will be running conventions with schools and colleges across the UK to provide advice on how to apply. University open days typically start in April and run through to July, with many institutions already taking bookings.

UCAS believes that it is desirable that learners applying to UK universities and should colleges have access to the full range of study options when considering which courses and institutions best meet their needs. Financial considerations are important part of

8,745 (35.1%)		this decision
applicants to		making process
English		and we believe
institutions, 6,393		that it essential
(34.2%) accepts		that all applicants
226 (0.9%)		understand the
applicants to		financial
Scotland		commitments they
institutions, 92		are making before
(0.5%) accepts		submitting their
10 (less than		UCAS
0.1%) applicants		applications.
to NI institutions, 8		
(less than 0.1%)		In view of the
accepts		current uncertainty
		around course
UCAS would be		offerings and fee
happy to work		levels across the
with the Welsh		UK, UCAS is
Assembly on any further data		delaying the collection and
requirements.		collection and publication of
requirements.		course information
		which will now go
		live in May 2011.
		Applicants will be
		able to register
		with UCAS from
		June, and will be
		able to submit
		their applications
		from September
		2011. The
		deadline for
		applications for
		medicine,
		dentistry and

			veterinary science
			courses, as well
			as for applications
			to the Universities
			of Oxford and
			Cambridge, is
			15th October
			2011. The
			deadline for the
			majority of other
			courses is 15th
			January 2012.
			English institutions
			wishing to charge
			tuition fees of
			more than £6,000
			per annum will
			need to prepare
			new access
			agreements which
			will have to be
			approved by the
			Office for Fair
			Access (OFFA).
			UCAS is working
			with OFFA to
			determine when
			tuition fee
			information about
			courses at English
			institutions starting
			in 2012 will be
			available to
			applicants. We
			anticipate that this
i			will be in early

			July 2011.
			In the interests of
			fairness to Welsh
			domiciled
			applicants and to
			help maintain the
			institutional
			competitiveness of
			Welsh institutions,
			it would highly
			desirable if Welsh
			institutions were in
			a position to
			publish their
			approved tuition
			fees for individual
			courses at the
			same time as
			institutions in
			other parts of the
			country. This
			would require
			HEFCW approval
			of the proposed
			fee plans by the
			end of June 2011.
			UCAS is willing to
			work with the
			Welsh Assembly
			and HEFCW to
			help deliver
			whatever new
			student finance
			arrangements are
			agreed, in order to

						support Welsh learners and to enable Welsh universities and colleges to achieve fair, transparent and efficient admissions to higher education 2012.
Oona Stannard Catholic Education Service for England and Wales	Fee plans could reasonably make allowance for measures to charge lower or different fees for provision specifically meeting specific Welsh needs alongside For Our Future priorities. Fee planning guidance will have to have regard to part time students and their needs and further attention should be given to this and why they are part time when fee provisions are set eg where students are part time because they are carers and therefore already carrying additional burdens/helping society, could they have some extra protection in fees	To set basic fee level below that of England would be challenging but offer some opportunities as well as constraints. Firstly, could Wales afford to do this and would it suggest an inferior product? If Welsh higher education cannot meet the same spread of provision as England would it be divisive to have some provision that students can access more cheaply in Wales when other students will have	Whatever scheme is designed to enable the funding to follow the student ref 6.c it should be transparent to all parties. With an identification system for students it should be possible for all parties to electronically monitor payments made and received thus easing bureaucratic burdens.	No comment	No comment supplied.	No comment supplied.

	structure.	to leave the				
	on dotaro.	Country to follow				
		their study				
		pathway?				
		Conversely, would				
		a lower fee help to				
		keep Welsh talent				
		in Wales?				
John	Introductory comment	In terms of	ColegauCymru	Draft written proposals	Centralisation of the	In summary:
Graystone	NB Currently 18 FE	simplicity and	would welcome	circulated to all	processing of	The
ColegauCymru	colleges deliver HE	administrative	efforts to produce a	institutions delivering	applications along	standardisation of
	courses, enrolling around	convenience there	simple, non-	HE programmes in	the lines adopted in	franchising
	7,500 students annually.	would be	bureaucratic fee	Wales and to all	England might	arrangements
	Many of these are taking	advantage in	grant scheme for	interested	improve the access	especially in
	courses franchised from	setting a basic fee	Wales. The	stakeholders with a	of students to up-to-	relation to funding
	local higher education	rate of £6,000 in	proposed scheme	reasonable timeframe	date information	arrangements.
	institutions (HEIs). Six	line with England.	appears to be simple	for responses.	and to supporting	Consideration of
	colleges receive direct	However, in	and straight forward.	Regional meetings	bodies. Review the	differentiation of
	funding from HEFCW.	relation to the	FEIs offering HE	providing opportunities	withdrawal	basic fee rates
	FE colleges make a	widening	programmes will	for face-to face	procedures for	Separate and
	significant contribution to	participation	need to be consulted	contact and open and	undergraduates.	clear guidance on
	the future direction of	aspirations of the	as part of any future	transparent	Improve the general	funding
	higher education in	Welsh Assembly	discussions	discussions.	awareness of	arrangements for
	Wales.	Government,	designed to	Stakeholder	students regarding	part-time students.
	Around 80% of HE	some	minimise the	workshops to consider	their responsibility	Finally, there is
	students at FE colleges	consideration	administrative	arrangements for	for repaying any	misunderstanding
	attend on a part-time	might be given to	burden of new	supporting part-time	funding if they	amongst students
	basis. Most study	differentiated	arrangements for	learning in Wales as	withdraw from their	in Wales about the
	vocational/professional	basic fee rates for	funding and student	proposed by the	programme of	differences
	qualifications.	students following	finance.	Minister in his	study. LEAs	between the
	Current fee plans	HE programmes	Any change to	Foreword to the	provide excellent	different fee
	require all institutions to	in FEIs.	administration must	consultation	information and	schemes in Wales
	commit to widening	Most HE in FE	not be detrimental to	document.	advisory support	and England.
	participation targets.	provision in Wales	the cash flow of the	FEIs and employers	services to students	This could have
	Further education	is delivered under	HEI.	need to have	and institutions.	an unnecessary
	institutions (FEIs)	franchise	Consideration	adequate	Any changes in the	adverse impact on
	strongly support this	arrangements	should be given to	representation on the	administration of the	Welsh students'

requirement and are	well between HEI and	the direct	funded	Board.	scheme must	HE aims. We
placed to meet		FEIs	when	Participation of and	continue to ensure	would recommend
needs.	There is	developing	the	engagement with	effectiveness and	a campaign to
ColegauCymru sup		scheme.	uic	pupils in year 12 & 13,	efficiency.	raise awareness
the principle	that variation, within a	Scrience.		parents, FE level 3	emolericy.	and
institutions wishing to				students and		understanding.
tuition fees above						understanding.
basic fee rate wil				, ,		
	·			important to ensure that all views are		
required to submit						
plans for approva HEFCW. In add	- 1			heard and		
	dition by the franchising			represented.		
ColegauCymru is mi						
of the recent Minis						
announcement	that experienced a					
access to the new						
regime will be deper						
on the willingness						
institutions to play a						
in the reconfiguration						
higher education	in addition to 30% of					
Wales.	the funding					
It is unlikely that						
FEIs offering HE in						
will wish to set to	, ,					
fees above the basic	0 ,					
fee.	view that the fee					
Any institution inter	• I					
to charge above the						
rate fee should	,					
required to set out cl	- 1					
the additional stu	J					
entitlement. This sl						
involve clear identific						
of what students i	•					
expect over and a						
the norm for the						
fee.	have established					

As noted above, 80% of a reputation for students following HE programmes in FEIs study part-time. The fee planning guidance should provide clear and detailed guidance on part-time student fees with clear specification of their entitlements. In line with current practices, institutions will

need to set out the financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries.

People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean academic excellence and better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception.

Institutions should be allowed to agree their own fee structure to capitalise on areas of the provision of high quality HE provision (evidenced in NSS recent outcomes and in assessments undertaken by the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education). Consideration needs to be given to standardising the amount HEIs are allowed to retain/top-slice.

Tuition Fees in Wales should not be set lower than England for the reasons stated in Q1.

We would welcome more guidance on the fee structure for part time undergraduate studies. Guidance may suggest that HEIs use a pro rata model when setting the part time fees thus

	T.			
excellence.	lessening the			
Higher fees and fee	differential			
differentiation could also				
have a negative effect on				
collaboration and				
potentially damage the				
widening participation				
agenda. Therefore it				
would a useful strategy to	1 ·			
ensure those who want to				
charge higher fees have				
plans in place for				
widening access, as is				
planned in England.	part time fees will			
p.aosgiaridi	increase quite			
	substantially. A			
	£6k tuition fee			
	equates to a 10			
	credit module			
	costing £500.			
	This is a			
	significant			
	increase in the			
	current level of			
	part time fees for			
	some bodies.			
	We suggest that			
	WAG should			
	clearly define			
	what is meant by			
	'intensity of study'.			
	Current guidance			
	refers to having			
	an upper band of			
	intensity set as			
	75% or more.			
	This upper			
	тс аррег	1		

T	I		-
intensity band			
should have a			
ceiling e.g. 90%			
so that institutions			
can clearly			
communicate to			
prospective			
students what is			
part time and what			
is full time.			
No mention has			
been made			
regarding the			
continuation of the			
part time fee and			
course grant.			
What changes will			
be made to the			
financial support			
that is available to			
students? As fees			
are set to increase			
will WAG also			
increase the level			
of financial			
support available			
for part time			
undergraduate			
students? The PT			
fee grant needs to			
be proportionate			
to the PT fees			
institutions may			
charge therefore			
WAG would need			
to increase the			
amount of support			
			

		currently				
		available.				
		avanabio.				
Rebecca	Deallwn mai ehangu	O ran myfyrwyr o	Dim sylw.	Byddai	Dim sylw.	Teimlwn fod rhaid
Williams	mynediad a symud	Gymru, hyd y		gweithdai/cyfarfodydd		codi'r cwestiwn
Undeb	ymlaen gyda'r agenda	gwelwn ni, nid		ymgynghori (torfol neu		ynglŷn ag ariannu
Cenedlaethol	rhanbartholi ac ail-	yw'n gwneud		gyda		myfyrwyr o Gymru
Athrawon	gyflunio bydd yr amodau	gwahaniaeth am		mudiadau/sefydliadau		sy'n astudio tu
Cymru (UCAC)	ar gyfer codi ffioedd ar	fod Llywodraeth y		unigol) yn bosib, neu		allan i Gymru.
	lefel uwch.	Cynulliad yn talu		ymgynghoriadau		Deallwn yr
	Mae hwyluso astudio trwy	unrhyw beth dros		pellach ar		ymdeimlad o
	gyfrwng y Gymraeg	yr hyn sy'n		bapur/arlein.		ʻgyfrifoldeb i
	'mewn amrywiaeth	cyfateb â £3,375.				fyfyrwyr sydd fel
	ehangach o raglenni a	Yr hyn sy'n				rheol yn byw yng
	lleoliadau' yn un o	bwysig yw sicrhau				Nghymru', ond
	amcanion 'Er Mwyn Ein	bod digon o				rhaid gofyn y
	Dyfodol'. Awgrymwn felly	fyfyrwyr o Loegr				cwestiwn, a rhaid
	ei bod hi'n briodol i	yn dod i				ystyried yr
	wneud darpariaeth	brifysgolion				opsiynau.
	cyfrwng Cymraeg yn	Cymru er mwyn				Mae'n glir, o dan y
	amod i godi ffioedd uwch.	sybsideiddio'r				cynlluniau
	Gallai'r amod gael ei	system Addysg				presennol, y
	fynegi fel:	Uwch. Felly mae'r				byddai swm
	- nifer neu ganran o	cwestiwn o				sylweddol o arian
	gyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng	fantais/anfantais				Llywodraeth
	Cymraeg, mewn isafswm	yn dibynnu ar y				Cynulliad Cymru
	o feysydd gwahanol	cwestiwn hwn: A				yn dilyn myfyrwyr
	- nifer neu ganran o	oes rhagdybiaeth				o Gymru sy'n
	fyfyrwyr sy'n dilyn	y bydd digonedd				dewis astudio
	cyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng	neu brinder				mewn prifysgol yn
	Cymraeg	myfyrwyr am ddod				Lloegr, er
	Byddai'r ail ffordd o	o Loegr i				enghraifft.
	fynegi'r amod yn	brifysgolion				Byddai'r swm
	fanteisiol am y byddai'n	Cymru? A oes				hwnnw'n
	rhoi cymhelliad i	angen gwneud				sybsideiddio
	Brifysgolion annog	rhywbeth				prifysgolion tu

myfyrwyr i ddilyn cyrsiau	ychwanegol i'w	allan i Gymru, ac
cyfrwng Cymraeg, yn	denu i Gymru - ai	yn annog
ogystal â'u cynnig yn y lle	peidio?	myfyrwyr i adael
cyntaf.	Manteision	Cymru i fynd i'r
	- Gallai ddenu	brifysgol. Y
	mwy o fyfyrwyr o	tebygolrwydd yw
	Loegr a thu hwnt i	na fydd y rhan
	brifysgolion	fwyaf ohonynt yn
	Cymru	dychwelyd i
	- Byddai modd	Gymru wedyn i
	cael ffi sylfaenol	weithio, magu
	is, a chadw'r	teuluoedd,
	gofynion o ran	cyfrannu i'r
	graddau Lefel A	economi ac ati.
	ac ati yn uchel am	Byddai
	fod galw a	Llywodraeth
	chystadleuaeth	Cynulliad Cymru'n
	am lefydd	chwarae ei rhan
	Anfanteision	ym mharhad y llif
	- Gallai greu	o dalent ifanc
	problemau	allan o'r wlad sydd
	ariannol i	wedi bod yn
	brifysgolion	gymaint o broblem
	Cymru, gan eu	i Gymru dros y
	gadael heb ddigon	blynyddoedd.
	o gyllid i lenwi'r	Gallwn weld dadl
	bwlch ar ôl torri	dros ariannu
	(top-slice) grant	myfyrwyr sy'n
	dysgu'r	gadael Cymru ble
	Prifysgolion	nad oes cwrs ar
	- Gallai ddibrisio	gael yng Nghymru
	graddau	sy'n cyfateb â'u
	prifysgolion	dewis pwnc;
	Cymru o ran	milfeddygaeth yw'r
	canfyddiad	enghraifft amlwg.
	myfyrwyr o'u	Ond tu hwnt i'r
	gwerth; gallant	pynciau

			edrych fel				cyfyngedig iawn
			graddau 'rhad'				hynny, nid yw
							UCAC wedi'i
							ddarbwyllo o'r
							gwerth i Gymru -
							ei heconomi, na'i
							sector Addysg
							Uwch – o dalu
							ffioedd myfyrwyr
							sy'n mynd i'r
							brifysgol yn rhywle
							arall. Mae gan
							Gymru berffaith
							hawl i gynnig
							cymhelliad i'w
							myfyrwyr i astudio
							yng Nghymru –
							neu o leiaf i beidio
							â chynnig
							cymhelliad i adael.
Adam	Rees	Within a number of	NUS Wales does	NUS Wales believes	NUS Wales	No comment	NUS Wales has
NUS		statements and	not believe that	that the	understands that the		significant
		speeches, the Minister for	higher education	administration of the	Programme Delivery		concerns about
		Children, Education and	institutions have	tuition fee waiver /	Board deliberately		the timescale for
		Lifelong Learning has	done anything to	grant should be as	consists of delivery		this policy to be
		spoken of his intention to	'deserve' the	simple and efficient	partners, rather than		implemented.
		link For Our Future	automatic right to	as possible, in order	stakeholder groups.		Although we
		priorities to the ability	charge above the	to ensure that	However, if institutions		recognise that this
		of Welsh higher	current rate, As a	students, institutions	were to gain		process has been
		education institutions to	result, we would	and the government	representation on this		somewhat forced
		charge higher tuition	suggest that the	feel that they have	body through Higher		by events in
		fees. In particular, he has	basic tuition fee	full confidence in the	Education Wales - as		England,
		made several references	rate should be at	process. It should	a stakeholder making		we are extremely
		to the reconfiguration	the current	also be as cost	representations on the		worried that the
		agenda and to widening	maximum rate of	effective as possible,	development of his		system for
		access.	£3,375	ensuring that the	policy - then we would		regulating the
		NUS Wales broadly	rather than at	maximum amount of	insist that students		ability of higher

welcomes the move to conditions place institutions with regards to their ability to charge higher tuition fees. It must not be the case the institutions are 'automatically' or 'easily' allowed to charge fees at a higher level than they do now. However. we believe that this should be focussed on particular For Our Future priorities more than others. NUS Wales also believes that the process associated with these conditions and subsequent regulation should be robust and challenging to institutions, and must not be a simple 'tick box' exercise. Although the Minister has stated his intention to link institutions' ability to charge higher tuition fees to the reconfiguration agenda, this is not something that NUS Wales can support. We understand the Welsh Assembly Government's plan for reconfiguration, and have been broadly supportive of its aims

£6.000 per annum. Since the increase in tuition fees to £3,000 per year, we have seen no evidence that there has been any improvement in the student experience, In fact. in both England and in Wales student satisfaction dropped slightly as the new tuition fee regime was introduced, The National Student Survey 2010 surveyed the first cohort of students in Wales to have charged been £3,000 per year tuition fees. The results for 'overall satisfaction with the quality of the course' dropped by percentage point across Wales. Although this is not a significant funding reaches students and institutions, rather than being spent on administrative systems.

If this function were to be administered through the Student Loan Company, then steps should be taken to ensure that students feel confident in this function and are assured that they will not encounter similar problems to those faced English students in receipt maintenance loans during the 2009/10 academic year.

should also be represented through NUS Wales.

however. the membership of the Programme Delivery Board remains the same. NUS Wales would urge DCELLS to ensure that there is an opportunity consult further with student representatives from a variety of institutions across Wales; NUS Wales is happy to

such

facilitate

meeting. The scale of the changes to higher education funding and the timeframe within which these changes are to be determined and implemented is far from ideal. NUS Wales urges DCELLS to ensure maximum consultation with stakeholder groups in order to limit opportunity for unintended consequences.

education
institutions to
charge higher
tuition fees will fail
to be as robust as
it should be.

The tripling of the maximum level of tuition fees is a significant change to the higher education landscape. lt completely contradicts the Minister's statement in October that 'The One Wales government does not believe in fullcost or near fullcost fees' and will leave students / graduates with up to £27,000 in debt from tuition fees alone. As previously stated. the system for regulating institutions' ability to charge higher fees should not be

simple tick box

exercise. It should

over the past year. We cannot however support a system that effectively uses differing levels of graduate debt as an incentive for institutions to engage with this agenda. We recognise that the Welsh Assembly Government, through its remit letter to HEFCW, has used government funding to incentivise engagement with and delivery government priorities such as reconfiguration. However, NUS Wales does not believe that students' money should be used to deliver a government priority such this. We fail to see how this strategy could be adequately justified to graduates who could leave university with different amounts of debt, depending on their institutions' willingness or ability to engage with the reconfiguration agenda. If the government wishes deliver the reconfiguration agenda,

reduction in statistical terms, we would have expected an increase in satisfaction in line with expectations that higher tuition fees would have been channelled into improving the student experience. There is no evidence that students have received any benefit from the last increase in tuition fees, in fact they have simply seen their debt graduate increase. As a result, we do not believe that any institution should automatically be able to charge above the current level of £3,375. NUS Wales also has concerns that if set at £6,000. some institutions may choose to charge this basic rate instead of

not be 'easy' for institutions charge above the basic rate, We are extremely are concerned that the timescale for this policy to be determined and implemented may lead to a less robust system and we would urge **DCELLS** ensure that this is not the case.

then it is well within its having to take rights to instruct HEFCW steps to address to utilise public funding the issues within strategically in order to the fee plan framework, such achieve this objective. However, we widening as believe that We the access. government should not believe that any use tuition fees and increase in the student debt as a threat level of tuition should incentive for fees institutions to engage firm require with this issue. commitments to As the proposals for fee widening access plans concern the ability and the student of institutions to charge experience. We increased undergraduate believe that doubling the level tuition fees, we believe that they should not of tuition fees with include requirements no requirement for based on such national commitments research priorities. Although we would be recognise that research detrimental to the can often compliment widening access teaching within agenda, а university, we believe that effectively any action required for an allowing institution to be institutions to allowed to charge higher double their fees tuition fees must be more without tackling the impact directly linked to access on to higher education and widening access. to the undergraduate This point also learning and teaching applies to the experience, rather than student the institution's research experience. lf

profile. The propos	ed institutions are		
increase in tuition fe	es able to increase		
will leave students w	ith tuition fees to any		
up to £27,000 of de	ebt level above the		
from tuition fees alo			
The decision to tri			
tuition fees will aff			
students and graduat			
and regulation must			
	nis benefit the student		
assumption. It	is experience.		
therefore our opinion to			
tuition fee plans and			
ability to charge fe			
above the current le			
must be based on t	wo		
themes.			
Widening access	_		
Research conducted	in		
2010 by the Sutton Tr	ust		
showed that signification	ant		
numbers of stude	nts		
would be deterred from	om		
entering higher educat	on		
if tuition			
fees were to	be		
increased. The research	h1		
also showed that the	se		
from the poor			
backgrounds were me	ore		
likely to be deterred. It	is		
our view that any abi	lity		
to charge higher			
tuition fees must			
based on institution			
demonstrating a serio			
commitment to widen	ng		

access, as well as				
meeting hard targets				
associated with this				
issue.				
Student experience -				
NUS Wales believes that				
if students are to pay up				
to £9,000 per year for				
higher education, then				
they should expect a				
significantly better return.				
As the				
financial burden of higher				
education is being shifted				
onto the students more				
than ever before,				
institutions must be able				
to deliver an excellent				
student experience. As				
the government is aware,				
we represent both Welsh				
domiciled and non-Welsh				
domiciled students				
studying at Welsh				
institutions. Although				
Welsh domiciled students				
will initially be protected				
from the increase in				
tuition fees, we have no				
guarantee that this policy				
will continue in the long				
term. As a result, we will				
be basing our				
representation on the				
assumption that this				
policy is a temporary				
measure that will be				
 incasare that will be	 1	1	<u> </u>	l

implemented within a			
more permanent			
framework of higher			
tuition fee levels. We are			
fully aware that the tuition			
fee			
waiver may not continue			
beyond a certain			
timeframe and therefore			
feel that the below			
representations are valid			
for both Welsh domiciled			
and non-Welsh domiciled			
students of			
the future. However,			
while the fee waiver			
system exists, Welsh			
domiciled students will			
have the same demands			
as their non-Welsh			
counterparts, as they			
have a choice to take			
their fees to an English			
institution, rather than a			
Welsh institution.			
Widening Access and			
Retention			
The aim of opening out			
higher education to			
groups in society that are			
not well represented			
continues to be hugely			
important. It is a matter of			
fairness and also a			
matter of leadership:			
while higher education			
cannot correct all of			

_		T.		
	society's ills, it should			
	play a central role in			
	advocating for social			
	change and innovating in			
	practical developments to			
	support that aim.			
	Although fee plans have			
	been used since the			
	introduction of variable			
	tuition fees in Wales, we			
	believe that the new			
	system must reflect the			
	significant change to the			
	funding of higher			
	education. Fee plans			
	should be scrutinised and			
	monitored more			
	vigorously, with a greater			
	emphasis on outcomes			
	rather than outputs. The			
	focus of fee plans must			
	move away from			
	a simple description of			
	the activities an institution			
	will undertake to attempt			
	to widen access. NUSW			
	believes that fee plans			
	should require monitoring			
	of widening access			
	initiatives against hard			
	targets on recruitment,			
	retention and			
	achievement, thus			
	contributing to the			
	national ambition of			
	increasing the number of			
	students from			

disadvantaged backgrounds in Welsh higher education. In order for the process to be as open and transparent as possible, NUS Wales should be represented on the body that determines whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above the basic tuition fee level.	T	 П	T	
higher education. In order for the process to be as open and transparent as possible, NUS Wales should be represented on the body that determines whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
for the process to be as open and transparent as possible, NUS Wales should be represented on the body that determines whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
open and transparent as possible, NUS Wales should be represented on the body that determines whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
possible, NUS Wales should be represented on the body that determines whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
should be represented on the body that determines whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	l :			
the body that determines whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	l • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
whether fee plans are acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
acceptable. There should also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
also be a requirement for the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
the provider to formally consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
consult the students' union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
union when developing their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	the provider to formally			
their fee plan. Tuition fee plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
plans should be monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	union when developing			
monitored regularly to ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	their fee plan. Tuition fee			
ensure that a provider is meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	plans should be			
meeting its targets. We would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	monitored regularly to			
would suggest that there is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
is annual monitoring of the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	meeting its targets. We			
the fee plans and associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above				
associated targets, and therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	is annual monitoring of			
therefore an annual review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	the fee plans and			
review of whether an institution should be allowed to charge above	associated targets, and			
institution should be allowed to charge above	therefore an annual			
allowed to charge above	review of whether an			
	institution should be			
the basic tuition fee level.	allowed to charge above			
	the basic tuition fee level.			
As stated previously, we	As stated previously, we			
believe that the ability to	believe that the ability to			
charge higher tuition fees	charge higher tuition fees			
should be				
dependent on an	dependent on an			
institutions' commitment				
to widening access and				
their ability to deliver on				
associated targets. It is	l			

also crucial that providers			
are judged on admissions			
and retention			
of people from			
disadvantaged			
backgrounds, not simply			
on applications. NUS			
Wales believes that these			
targets should be			
ambitious. Any institution			
which does not show			
adequate ambition should			
be refused the ability to			
charger higher tuition			
fees. We also believe that			
those with further to			
travel must do more -			
those institutions who			
have failed to adequately			
deliver on widening			
access in the past should			
demonstrate a strong			
commitment to changing			
their behaviour and			
delivering suitable			
outcomes. NUS Wales			
believes that there is little			
point in having a fee plan			
system if it becomes a			
simple 'tick-box' exercise.			
We believe that the			
government and HEFCW			
should make it clear that			
an institution's ability to			
charge higher tuition fees			
will be withdrawn if the			
institution does not meet			

the widening access targets outlined in their fee plan. However, institutions must not be allowed to set feeble targets in order to mitigate the risk of falling to achieve them. NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare				
fee plan. However, institutions must not be allowed to set feeble targets in order to mitigate the risk of failing to achieve them. NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing a academic, financial and welfare				
institutions must not be allowed to set feeble targets in order to mitigate the risk of failing to achieve them. NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	targets outlined in their			
allowed to set feeble targets in order to mitigate the risk of failing to achieve them. NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	fee plan. However,			
targets in order to mitigate the risk of failing to achieve them. NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	institutions must not be			
mitigate the risk of failing to achieve them. NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	allowed to set feeble			
to achieve them. NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	targets in order to			
NUS Wales has concerns that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	mitigate the risk of failing			
that the short timescale for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	to achieve them.			
for implementing this policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	NUS Wales has concerns			
policy could lead to a less rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	that the short timescale			
rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	for implementing this			
rigorous system being introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	policy could lead to a less			
introduced. The lack of time should not be an excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare				
excuse for allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare				
allowing a weak fee plan system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	time should not be an			
system to exist. Students are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	excuse for			
are going to be charged up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	allowing a weak fee plan			
up to triple the amount of tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	system to exist. Students			
tuition fees than those within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	are going to be charged			
within the current system, and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	up to triple the amount of			
and any fee plan system must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	tuition fees than those			
must reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	within the current system,			
reflect the severity and significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	and any fee plan system			
significance of this change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	must			
change. As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	reflect the severity and			
As well as monitoring and responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	significance of this			
responding to widening access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare				
access targets, institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare				
institutions should also show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	responding to widening			
show a commitment to providing academic, financial and welfare	access targets,			
providing academic, financial and welfare	institutions should also			
financial and welfare	show a commitment to			
	support for students. It is			
essential that students,				
who could be paying up	who could be paying up			

to £9,000 per year, have			
access to high quality			
academic and welfare			
support services on			
campus. There can no			
longer be any			
excuses for allowing			
students to drop out of			
higher education due to			
lack of academic and			
welfare support. As			
students build up over			
£27,000 in tuition fee			
debt, institutions have a			
duty to make every effort			
to ensure that a student			
can complete their			
chosen course and			
achieve success.			
Institutions must			
demonstrate a			
commitment to investing			
in these services, rather			
than cutting student			
support, as we have seen			
in institutions across			
Wales in recent times.			
Research has shown that			
those from the poorest			
backgrounds are more			
likely to be deterred by			
higher tuition fees.			
Maintenance Grants have			
been frozen, yet cost of			
living has increased, and			
many students' unions			
have reported that halls			

of residence fees are often more expensive than the total maintenance loan on offer to students. In light of tuition fee debt potentially tripling, many students may take on extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that the Welsh Assembly			<u></u>	 T	1
than the total maintenance loan on offer to students. In light of tuition fee debt potentially tripling, many students may take on extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
maintenance loan on offer to students. In light of tuition fee debt potentially tripling, many students may take on extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
offer to students. In light of tuition fee debt potentially tripling, many students may take on extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
of tuition fee debt potentially tripling, many students may take on extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
potentially tripling, many students may take on extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
students may take on extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
extra part time jobs in order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
order to reduce their total debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	students may	take on			
debt on graduation. In addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	extra part tim	ne jobs in			
addition to this, the Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	order to reduce	e their total			
Financial Contingency Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	debt on grad	duation. In			
Fund in higher education has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	addition to	this, the			
has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	Financial C	Contingency			
has been cut by 60%, preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	Fund in higher	r education			
preventing many students from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	has been cu	t by 60%,			
from accessing much needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
needed hardship funding during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
during their time at university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
university. The UK government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
government has announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that					
announced a National Scholarship Scheme to support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	-				
support widening access. NUS Wales believes that		n National			
support widening access. NUS Wales believes that	Scholarship S	Scheme to			
NUS Wales believes that	·				
the Welsh Assembly					
	the Welsh	Assembly			
Government should also					
look to allocate funding	look to alloca	ate funding			
towards supporting towards					
access from					
disadvantaged	disadvantaged				
backgrounds. Although					
the bursary system		_			
associated with the					
introduction of variable					
tuition fees had many					
flaws, we believe that					

institutions s	should also		
allocate reso	ources from		
tuition fee	income to		
financially	support		
students	from		
disadvantaged	d and non-		
traditional b	ackgrounds.		
We belie			
institutions	should		
demonstrate	within their		
tuition fee pla	ns how they		
are going			
academic, fir			
	ipport for		
students. If t			
demonstrate a			
commitment			
student sup			
should not			
ability to cha	arge higher		
tuition fees.			
Student Expe	erience		
Under the new	w tuition fee		
regimes, whe	ere students		
will be char	ged up to		
£9,000 per y	year NUSW		
believes that	institutions		
should be	required to		
produce acc	curate and		
detailed info	rmation for		
potential ar	nd current		
students, as	s well as		
taking signific			
ensure an	excellent		
student expe			
should be de			
fee plans and			
, ,	<u> </u>	 	

			T
to deliver on this should			
mean that the institution			
concerned is not allowed			
to charge higher tuition			
fees.			
Centrality of the student			
voice			
The new funding			
arrangements mean that			
the principal source of			
funding for higher			
education becomes the			
individual, who studies a			
course and then pays			
back the costs of			
providing that course			
over time. This must			
imply a far more powerful			
role for the voice of			
students within providers,			
influencing the way that			
learning and teaching			
takes place and how			
-			
provided. The starting			
principle for the sector			
must be to ensure that			
students' unions are well			
funded and supported by			
their institution. In recent			
years we have seen			
students' union 'block			
grants' being cut and the			
ability of students' unions			
to provide representation			
and advice for students			
 being seriously			

compromised by their institution's decision to reduce students' union funding. Student officers	
reduce students' union funding. Student officers	
funding. Student officers	
must have the financial	
support they need to	
provide effective student	
representation, especially	
as students begin to pay	
up to £9,000 per year in	
tuition fees. Institutions	
must show a commitment	
to adequately funding	
their students' unions.	
Any failure to do this	
should lead to the ability	
of the institution to charge	
higher tuition fees being	
withdrawn. Students	
should be well	
represented at every	
level of the institution and	
there should be at least	
two student	
representatives on the	
institution's governing	
body. The introduction of	
the 'Annual Statements',	
as outlined in the QAA	
Institutional Review	
handbook, should	
become funded by	
institutions and	
developed to ensure that	
students' unions have the	
resources to research	
and analyse aspects of	

the student experience. In particular, there should be greater commitment to improving assessment and feedback. Fee plans should demonstrate the steeps providers are taking to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as English providers. The			T	1
be greater commitment to improving assessment and feedback. Fee plans should demonstrate the steps providers are taking to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
improving assessment and feedback. Fee plans should demonstrate the steps providers are taking to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information St (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
and feedback. Fee plans should demonstrate the sleps providers are taking to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	be greater commitment to			
should demonstrate the steps providers are taking to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	improving assessment			
steps providers are taking to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	and feedback. Fee plans			
to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	should demonstrate the			
to fund and support the students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	steps providers are taking			
students' union and engage with the student voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
voice at every level of the institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	engage with the student			
institution. information for students and applicants In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England, NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
In this new market landscape there must be a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	students and applicants			
a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
a huge push for improved information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	landscape there must be			
information for students. The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
The National Student Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
Survey has been a hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
hugely important tool for monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
monitoring student satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
satisfaction; it should continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
continue and be extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
extended. Some additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	-			
additional work is well underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	extended. Some			
underway in England, with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	additional work is well			
with the development of the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
the Key Information Set (KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
(KIS), which will give comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
comparable information about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
about all programmes in England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
England. NUS Wales believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
believes that Welsh institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as				
institutions should have to provide information to at least the same level as	o .			
at least the same level as				
at least the same level as	to provide information to			
	English providers. The			

Key Information Set			
should include details of			
contact time and an			
indication of which types			
of staff will be involved.			
Prospectuses used to be			
dull and detailed; they are			
now glossy brochures,			
which can be very useful			
in some ways but are			
largely useless for really			
understanding the			
structure, content or			
methods used on a given			
programme. We propose			
that full programme			
specifications and course			
outlines should be			
available for every			
programme offered, and			
provided accessibly			
through organisations'			
websites. No programme			
should be advertised			
without detailed			
information. Lack of			
information about the			
learning schedule			
(especially the timetable)			
can present a significant			
barrier to part-time			
students and to those			
with caring			
responsibilities. We			
believe that for every			
programme offered, a			
provisional timetable			

should be published for			
the first term or semester			
at the point the			
application round opens.			
We also believe that			
comprehensive induction			
plans should exist for all			
new students. Future			
earnings should not be			
the primary driver for			
people to study in higher			
education, but they are			
important and accurate			
data should be available			
to applicants. A graduate			
earnings report for each			
higher education			
organisation, and for			
different subject groups			
should be released,			
derived from the			
repayment profiles of			
former students who are			
repaying their loans. This			
would be a huge advance			
on sampled earnings			
research at six months			
post completion. Higher			
education providers			
should be required to			
write to all its registered			
students each year with a			
full breakdown of their			
expenditure apportioned			
in relation to income from			
their fees. This would			
ensure transparency			
ensure nansparency			

about how student fee income is actually spent and becomes of very great importance in an environment where fee income makes up the bulk of higher education resources. NUS Wales believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition feess. NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study in higher			1		
and becomes of very great importance in an environment where fee income makes up the bulk of higher education resources. NUS Wales believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
great importance in an environment where fee income makes up the bulk of higher education resources. NUS Wales believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees. NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
environment where fee income makes up the bulk of higher education resources. NUS Wales believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	and becomes	of very			
income makes up the bulk of higher education resources. NUS Wales believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees. NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	great important	ce in an			
bulk of higher education resources. NUS Wales believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	environment w	here fee			
resources. NUS Wales believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	income makes	up the			
believes that regulations should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	bulk of higher	education			
should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	resources. NU	S Wales			
should be issued to organisations with regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	believes that re	egulations			
regards to what charges additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	organisations	with			
additional to the main fee are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	regards to wha	t charges			
are permissible and impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
impermissible. For example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
example, it may specify that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
that increases to accommodation costs be held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher		ay specify			
held to a certain level, that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
that bench fees in science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	accommodation	costs be			
science subjects be blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	held to a cert	ain level,			
blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	that bench	fees in			
blocked, or even that every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	science subje	ects be			
every student be given a minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	-				
minimum number of printing credits included in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
in the main fee. In an environment where students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	printing credits	included			
students are paying up to £9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
£9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	environment	where			
£9,000 per year in tuition fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher	students are par	ying up to			
fees, NUS Wales believes that there should be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
be no 'hidden costs of study' in higher					
study' in higher	believes that the	ere should			
	be no 'hidden	costs of			
	study' in	higher			
education. Institutions					
who wish to charge					
above the basic level of					
tuition fees should state					

		<u>. </u>		
in their fee plans that	they			
will not charge stud	dents			
any additional manda	atory			
course costs such as				
□ Fees;				
☐ Materials for	art			
degrees, such	as			
canvasses, paints, c				
textiles, metals, etc;	,			
☐ Materials to sup	oport			
health courses,	eg,			
stethoscopes, opton				
lens sets, lab coats;				
☐ Outdoor equipment	ea			
	hing;			
hiking boots, water				
notebooks, hard	51001			
hats, sports equipme	nt:			
□ Learning resou				
including books, jour				
photocopying etc;	ilais,			
	cords			
Bureau (CRB) che				
Independent	5CK5/			
Safeguarding Auth	ocrity			
registration;	ionty			
☐ Study visits	/field			
courses: outdoor				
courses, muse				
	eries,			
theatres, film festi				
youth and comm				
projects, constructio				
	sites,			
prisons;	and			
□ Conferences	and			
registration;				

☐ Costs of additional			
facilities, eg, studio fees,			
laboratories, darkrooms,			
workshops;			
□ Printing, and binding			
costs			
☐ Health checks,			
vaccinations for fitness to			
practice, study or travel;			
□ Work placement costs			
□ Coaching awards.			
At the very least, any			
institution wishing to			
charge above the current			
tuition fee of £3,375			
should be required to			
detail all additional costs			
of study on their			
websites, at both			
institutional and course			
levels.			
Student Charters			
As students start to pay			
up to £9,000 per year,			
there will be increased			
pressure for HEIs to be			
clear about the student			
experience that they			
provide. We believe that			
the time is right for all			
HEIs and Students'			
Unions to review the top			
level information and			
commitments which they			
provide to students – as			
detailed in Student			
Charters and similar			

	agreements.			
	By investing time now,			
	they will help current			
	students to make the			
	most of their time in			
	higher education and also			
	begin to establish			
	mechanisms for updating			
	and refreshing their			
	charters on a regular			
	basis. In short, we			
	consider that, if charters			
	are: kept up to date			
	through regular review,			
	jointly owned by the HEI			
	and the Students' Union,			
	written concisely with			
	clear links to detailed			
	information, clearly			
	communicated to all staff			
	and all students, then			
	they can be: important			
	communication tools for			
	HEIs to establish clear			
	mutual expectations, help			
	monitor the student			
	experience and how			
	relationships are working.			
	NUS Wales believes that			
	such a process should be			
	a requirement set out in			
	the fee plans of			
	institutions wishing to			
	charge above the basic			
	tuition fee level. Student			
	charters should be short,			
	clear statements - of			
<u> </u>	Global Statements - Of			

		T	T	
student rights and				
responsibilities - so				
students know broadly				
what they should be able				
to expect, what is				
required of them, and				
what to do if things do not				
meet expected				
standards. There should				
be clarity and consistency				
throughout the institution,				
across all subject areas.				
Student charters should				
provide a focus for				
regular engagement and				
review with student				
representatives – to				
consider alongside other				
feedback from students				
and internal quality				
assurance and				
management information.				
We believe that student				
charters should be based				
on the following				
principles:				
☐ This is a joint venture				
with the students' union –				
must involve students				
and student reps at				
outset.				
□ Partnership working				
must continue after				
development - with joint				
monitoring and review.				
□ Review regularly – at				
least annually - with SU				

and use other evidence			
and feedback e.g.			
student surveys.			
☐ Senior staff buy in at			
strategic committee – e.g.			
Learning and Teaching –			
and Vice Chancellor to			
sign off (together with			
students' union			
president).			
□ Communication and			
dissemination needs			
careful thought – to reach			
all students (and staff)			
□ For all staff - engage			
and involve all student			
facing staff			
□ For all students –			
ensure that it covers both			
undergraduate and			
postgraduate (and is also			
relevant			
☐ Main focus is current			
students - for induction			
and during their time in			
higher education			
☐ Be clear on purpose -			
charter is a front page -			
which links included to			
more detailed information			
in university regulations			
and course handbooks.			
☐ Be clear what a charter			
is not - not a detailed			
personal agreement or			
contract.			
☐ A charter also	 		

communicates the ethos			
of the institution			
☐ And emphasises that			
students need to work in			
partnership with			
academic staff (and other			
students)			
Conclusion			
As previously stated, NUS Wales believes that			
the introduction of a			
£9,000 cap on tuition fees			
has the greatest impact			
on students. As a result,			
any ability to charge			
above the current level			
must be based on an			
institution's commitment			
to widening access and			
the student experience.			
Fee plans must be			
robust; they must require			
hard, ambitious targets;			
and most importantly they			
must be heavily			
scrutinised and monitored			
to ensure that the ability			
to charge above the			
current level is not 'easily'			
or 'automatically'			
awarded to			
 institutions in Wales.			

ANNEX 2 (ii) – consultation on the proposed system for part time higher education funding – including student finance for 2012/13

Contents

Introduction and overview		1
The consultation process		1
Consultation feedback and Welsh Governr	nent comments	1
Annex A: Stakeholder responses to the consultation questions		5
Annex B: Full list of respondents	13	

Introduction and overview

The Welsh Assembly Government issued a consultation paper on proposals to be made to the fee regime and student support system for part-time study in 2012/13 and were announced by the Minister for Education and Skills on 21 June 2011.

On 4 November 2011, having considered the consultation responses, the Minister announced his intention to postpone implementation of a revised system for part-time higher education tuition fees and student support until academic year 2013/14.

The consultation process

The consultation on the proposed changes to higher education part-time fees and student support was launched on 5 September 2011 and was open for responses until 3 October 2011. The consultation was aimed specifically at stakeholders, but was also published on the Welsh Government consultation web page, making it available to the wider public. The consultation **invited comment on some key questions (as listed in Annex A).**

A total of 9 questions were set out in a proforma-style document within the consultation, and an online form was provided for ease of email return. The broad principles of the policy had already been agreed, meaning questions were specifically related to the finer details of the proposed changes and their implementation.

Consultation feedback and Welsh Government comments

Statistical analysis of consultation responses – summary

Total number of responses:	32	
	responses	per cent
Higher education institutions (HEIs) and bodies	11	34
Further education institutions (FEIs) and bodies	8	25

Other organisations	7	22
Local authorities	2	6
NUS and student bodies	2	6
Members of the public	1	3
Third Sector organisations	1	3

Figures have been rounded to the nearest per cent, as such the sum of the constituent parts may not add to 100%.

A synopsis of the key issues identified by respondents is outlined below, grouped by all the stakeholder responses to the questions as laid out in the consultation document. Annex B provides a list of respondents for reference and excludes those who requested their response should remain confidential. Due to the delay in implementing the changes and the further ongoing analysis being done, the responses will not be published with this summary. On completion of the analysis, which is expected to be around Spring 2012, copies of non-confidential responses received in their original format and language will be available on request.

Some comments were outside the scope of the consultation, and although every effort was made to link these responses to the key themes of the consultation, this was not always possible. However, the essence of all such comments have already, or will be fully considered. The consultation document and response proforma adopted for this consultation can be found in the Education and Skills section (closed consultations) on the Welsh Government's website at: www.wales.gov.uk/consultations. We would like to take this opportunity to thank everyone who responded to the consultation for their contribution.

Main themes arising out of the responses received

The overriding common theme was a request to delay the implementation of the changes until academic year (AY) 2013/14 instead of AY 2012/13 as originally proposed. However, there was also general agreement with the aims and objectives proposed in the consultation, especially with giving part- time study closer parity with full-time. Points for development and action were provided by many respondents. The delay to implementation of the changes was announced by the Minister for Education and Skills on 4 November 2011. The full statement can be found at:

http://wales.gov.uk/about/cabinet/cabinetstatements/2011/21juneparttime/?lang=en.

Below are the key themes arising from the responses to each of the consultation questions. More detail of the responses under each question can be found at Annex A. Although this document does not contain specific Welsh Government responses to the views provided at each of the consultation questions, the statement made by **Minister for Education and Skills covers** most of the major issues and concerns raised in the responses received. A list of the stakeholders providing responses (excluding those who expressed confidentiality) can be found at Annex B.

Key themes

Importance of parity of part-time study with full-time.

- Timing of change.
- Retention of credit based funding system.
- Desire to maintain flexibility of study patterns.
- Recognition of need for fee plans to justify higher charges.
- Recognition of complex nature of part time study.
- Need for clear communication of changes.
- Need to reconsider approach for private providers.

Main points - summary of comments

Importance of parity of part-time study with full-time

- Respondents welcomed greater parity with full-time study and stated that the proposed part-time fee charging arrangements, including the setting of basic and higher amounts was to be commended and welcomed.
- Concerns were expressed about the proposal to link the part-time tuition fee grant with a requirement to take out a fee loan. Respondents suggested that this arrangement should be reconsidered and brought in line with the policy for full-time study.
- Part-time students will enter compulsory repayment after their third year of study if their income is above £21,000, even if they are still studying – respondents regarded this as a potential deterrent to applicants.

Timing of change

 The majority of respondents strongly advised delaying implementation until academic year 2013/14 to allow for further consideration of the changes and their impact.

Retention of credit-based funding system

- Respondents overwhelmingly wanted to retain a credit-based funding system, they viewed this as the fairest way to reflect a student's changing pattern of study.
- The majority of respondents considered that a pro-rata basis of fee charging based on credits and intensity of study across all modes of part-time study was the fairest way forward.

Desire to maintain flexibility of study patterns

- Several respondents indicated that they would like the Welsh Government or HEFCW to provide a clearer definition of part-time study.
- The majority of respondents considered that intensity of study over 75 per cent of full-time and below 120 credits should be treated as part-time study for the purpose of student support and fee controls.

Recognition of need for fee plans to justify higher charges

- The majority of respondents agreed that all institutions providing higher education courses should be subject to the same fee planning requirements as for full-time provision when setting fees above the basic amount.
- There were differences of opinion between further and higher institutions on the level (basic fee) at which fee plans would be required. Further education institutions preferred institutional flexibility around the fee level whereas higher education institutions prefer to have basic and the maximum fees that can be charged aligned to the full-time fee arrangements.
- Respondents considered that linking part-time fee planning requirements to For Our Future¹ priorities would help contribute to achieving its objectives.

Need for clear communication of changes

- Respondents indicated that there should be clearer communication of entitlement to part-time tuition fee loans and grants for those who have already undertaken higher education study.
- Respondents suggested that there should be a clear strategic communications plan in place to fully inform stakeholders and students of the part-time study changes in 2012/13 (or 2013/14 if implementation of the changes was to be delayed).
- Stakeholders requested that consideration be given to HEI and FEI representation on the Programme Delivery Board to help facilitate improved communication and understanding of implications for institutions.

Concern over possible changes in demand

- The majority of respondents envisaged the potential for a marked decrease in part-time study as a result of the introduction of higher fees combined with the current trend of the reduction in take up of provision.
- Respondents had mixed views on the question of capping student numbers, believing higher fees would themselves help to limit numbers.
- Respondents questioned whether any financial arrangements are to be put in place for those undertaking courses at an intensity of less than 25 per cent of full-time study and queried whether an increase in bursary awards may help to overcome this issue.

Need to reconsider approach for private providers

• Public money being used to finance tuition fee loans at private providers was seen almost universally as going in the wrong direction.

Stakeholder responses to the consultation questions

Key questions

The main proposals announced by the Minister for Education and Skills on the 21 June, both in relation to the part-time higher education fees and student support have been welcomed by the National Assembly for Wales. Therefore, the consultation paper did not invite comment on those broad principles. There remained, however, some key questions in relation to implementation of these proposals on which it was helpful to have views from stakeholders and delivery partners.

Part-time tuition fees

Q1. What should be the basic amount for part-time tuition fees, above which fee plans would be required from institutions wishing to charge a higher amount? Are there any advantages or disadvantages associated with establishing the basic fee amount at a fixed value for all part-time courses or varying the basic amount according to the course intensity?

Summary of responses

Most respondents welcomed the introduction of greater parity with full-time study and considered that the arrangements proposed for part-time fee charging and setting of the basic and higher amounts was to be commended. However some considered there may be risks associated with the proposals such as higher fees reducing demand for and take up of part-time courses, as well as administration costs to institutions, especially if a *variable* basic fee were to be introduced.

Some further education (FE) colleges considered that a variable basic fee instead of a fixed one would give them more flexibility. Higher education (HE) institutions considered that a fixed basic fee was fairer with some stating it should match the full-time amount thereby allowing them to maximise income.

The majority of respondents considered that a pro-rata basis of fee charging based on credits and intensity of study across all modes of part-time study was the fairest way forward. This would allow institutional flexibility, take account of differences between part-time and full-time study modes and limit the cost to students.

Most respondents agreed that whatever the fee caps were, systems were needed to ensure that fees could not be charged above the cap (i.e. the higher amount). Some FE colleges considered that higher fees could have detrimental effects on their part-time student intake and that flexibility on fee charging was crucial. The impact of higher fees on employer contributions was also a concern of several respondents.

Several institutions and other organisations provided differing pro-rata and fee setting options and indicated that some specific specialised provision might need to have fees set at higher levels to reflect the full cost of course delivery. Part-time fee plans (or combined with full-time fee plans) were seen as a crucial control measure and a way to direct institutional resources. Some FE colleges considered that their

pricing of courses is already based on the real costs of delivery. Several institutions felt linking part-time fee planning to *For Our Future*¹ would help towards achieving its objectives.

Some concern was noted over the requirement for part-time students to take out a fee loan to access the tuition fee grant, which is not the case with full-time study.

Q2. Should institutions charging above the basic fee amount for part-time courses be subject to the same fee planning requirements as for full-time courses? Are there any specific issues which should be taken into account in respect of the fee planning guidance to be issued to HEFCW for part-time provision?

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents agreed that all institutions providing part-time higher education courses should be subject to the same fee planning requirements as for full-time provision when setting fees above the basic amount. Respondents suggested that fee plans needed to be adjusted to fully take account of the differing nature of part-time modes of study across the sector. This would address the "widening access" agenda, student support arrangements and strategic priorities such as *For Our Future* and the National Student Survey².

It was suggested that if fee plans were merged for all modes of study, more detail would be required to show how institutions are addressing or meeting government priorities/objectives. Several respondents indicated that institutions should strengthen pastoral and financial support provided to students, as this may increase retention rates. The provision of such support should, in their view recognise the differences between part-time and full-time study.

Several respondents raised concerns over potential employer reaction to higher part-time fees and saw this as a significant risk to part-time vocational provision (an important part of the sector) that needs to be carefully considered.

Several respondents considered that the proposed timescales (2012/13) to introduce higher fees for part-time study were insufficient to allow the changes for full-time students to be properly assimilated and communicated to students. Most considered that implementation should be delayed for one full academic year to 2013/14. Early and specific communication to all students was seen as crucial.

Most respondents considered that account needs to be taken that similar services with similar costs are provided to both part-time and full-time students. However, to reduce administrative burdens and to allow flexibility to reflect the differing and complex needs of part-time students, fee plans should be written in a more targeted way for these students.

Q3. The Welsh Government proposes to make fee support available to eligible part-time students studying at an intensity of between 25 per cent and

1

¹ For Our Future – The 21st Century Higher Education Strategy and Plan for Wales' is the higher education strategy and plan for Wales. Welsh Assembly Government, 2008.

² National Student Survey. Unistats.Directgov, 2011.

75 per cent of the full-time equivalent. Should students studying between 75 per cent and 99 per cent intensity be treated as part-time students for the purposes of the student support (fee grant and loans) and fee capping legislation?

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents considered that intensity of study over 75 per cent of full-time and below 120 credits should be treated as part-time study as now. This was, however, not a universal view. Full-time study was seen by most respondents as 120+ credits per annum. The limited numbers of students studying over 75 per cent of full-time intensity should be subject to the same conditions as those studying between 25 and 75 per cent of full-time intensity, especially if in one academic year, they reduce or increase their intensity of study. Some respondents raised concerns about institutions not allowing students to study over 75 per cent of full-time intensity when fees are raised and reported that this currently happens at some HE institutions.

The credit based system equivalences (e.g. 90 credits = 75 per cent of full-time intensity, etc.) should be retained, with some respondents suggesting other calculations as alternatives, which could help reflect a student's changing pattern of study. Some respondents pointed out the potential complications for the support system in trying to differentiate between modes of part-time and full-time study between 75 and up to 99 per cent of full-time intensity. Some respondents raised significant concerns about the lack of financial support to be provided to students undertaking less than 25 per cent intensity of full-time study and questioned the future funding arrangements for this intensity of study.

Q4. In view of the way in which the intensity of part-time study is negotiated between the student and their institution would the proposed method for determining pro-rata fee to be charged best work on:

- a. a credit basis where the full-time equivalent study is defined as being 120 credits per year;
- b. a banding basis where rates are set for 25 per cent, 50 per cent and 75 per cent study intensity; or
- c. some other means of defining course intensity? e.g. on the basis of the number of years required to complete the course:

number of years of full-time course		
number of years of part-time coul	x 100 = percentage course intensity	

-

³ Subject to a maximum of 16 years to complete the course.

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents reported option (a) as their preferred choice as credits are currently used by institutions, would have less disruptive consequences to internal systems and would provide institutions with the greatest flexibility.

Several respondents provided advantages and disadvantages for each option.

Two respondents indicated that they would like to see a move to option (b) – that is banding.

Financial support

Q5. We intend to introduce support arrangements for part-time undergraduates which are similar to those for full-time undergraduates including access to a loan and a fee grant to cover the up-front costs of tuition fees for part-time courses between 25 per cent and 75 per cent intensity of a full-time course. Will these arrangements encourage applications for part-time study?

Summary of responses

Some respondents considered these proposals would assist the *widening access* agenda but many felt that charging higher fees would deter prospective part-time students from undertaking part-time HE with the potential effect being greatest on the least well off, most marginalised and socially excluded students. Many respondents felt those considering undertaking *bite sized* modules with an intensity of less than 25 per cent of full-time study would potentially be affected the most. Several respondents raised concerns about this issue, especially if the HEFCW teaching grant is, in future, no longer available.

Respondents noted that institutions have already experienced reductions in the number of students undertaking part-time study and even with no upfront fees and the availability of grants/loans, the *price sensitive* or *debt adverse* student might be put off. Again, the potential impact on employer contributions for part-time study was cited as a concern, as was the potential impact on both up-skilling and re-skilling opportunities. Concerns were also raised over current HEFCW funding for these types of courses and other short course provision. Respondents wanted reassurance or clarity over the levels of funding provided by HEFCW for this course provision when fee levels are raised.

Many respondents felt, some strongly, that the proposal to start loan repayments after thee years of part-time study would have a detrimental effect on students. Although this proposal is based on the conditions already established for full-time study where the student usually completes their studies after 3 years, respondents stated it seemed to them to be unfair to apply the same repayment timescales to part-time study. Several respondents suggested some other method of repayment would be preferential, such as loan repayments starting when a student's course ends or when they have graduated and are earning over the £21,000 threshold. Several respondents were strongly opposed to the requirement to take out a fee

loan to access the part-time tuition fee grant on the basis that this requirement would reduce the parity with full-time students.

Respondents considered that the communications strategy to publicise the changes to the part-time fees and support arrangements, needed to be carefully considered and managed. They stated that an appropriately targeted strategy should help correct any misconceptions students may have surrounding higher fees and the nature of the support package available.

In terms of whether the new arrangements would encourage applications for part-time study, concerns were raised by, in the main, institutions providing courses to students who have had previous financial support. Under previous study rules such students would not be entitled to further support and consequently an impact of higher fees may be a reduction in the number of applications from students seeking to up-skill or change careers. Stakeholders considered that many such students currently benefit from *fee waivers* supported by HEFCW funding and if this funding were to be removed it would have a significant affect on them. Many respondents again suggested delaying the implementation of the part-time changes until 2013/14 to provide more time to consider the potential implications arising from the shift in funding to student support and also to take account of the experience in England in 2012.

Several respondents raised concerns over the lack of current financial support for students undertaking Equivalent or Lower Qualifications (ELQs) and that this may be exacerbated with the introduction of higher part-time fees.

Q6. We propose to make a tuition fee loan available for part-time students studying designated courses at private providers. The arrangements proposed for full-time students will provide for a maximum fee loan of £6,000. What do you consider should be the maximum loan available for part-time students?

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents had concerns about extending these support arrangements to private providers. Respondents considered that provision of increased loans could be seen as providing a subsidy to institutions which are not subject to the same requirements to meet *For Our Future* priorities as publicly-funded institutions. If fee loans are provided to part-time students to attend private institutions, they should be required to provide a part-time fee plan to explicitly outline how they will target *widening access*, equality of opportunity and other stated Welsh Government priorities.

On the whole it was considered that this approach could be damaging for higher education, academia and the student experience in Wales, that it might lead to an influx of private HE provision and that it runs counter to the Welsh Government's reconfiguration agenda. In summary, stakeholders put forward the view that the provision of public funding to enable students to study at private institutions represents a significant risk to the Welsh Government and to the higher education budget.

There was some support for matching the same pro rata levels of fee loans as for full-time study as is the case in England. However, if loans are to be made available to students studying at private institutions then stakeholders considered that they should be subject to the same regulatory and accountability requirements as publicly-funded institutions. Additionally, respondents considered that fee plans should be a requirement for private institutions charging fees above the pro-rata basic amount for part-time study.

Q7. In order to ensure that the policy is sustainable in the long term, we intend to control the number of under-graduate students eligible for part time support. What system and processes do you feel would be the most effective way of implementing a control on part-time student numbers in 2012/13?

Summary of responses

Many of the respondents considered that there is currently insufficient data available to assess whether part-time student numbers need to be capped in the future. Experience may demonstrate that there will be an overall reduction in student numbers if higher fees are introduced, creating a lower demand for part-time provision.

The introduction of penalties for over-recruitment and might help to limit numbers, although some priority courses would need to be exempted. Such provision could include employer sponsored courses, NHS bursary, work based learning or European Social Fund sponsored Foundation Degree courses.

Some respondents pointed out that one of the objectives of *For Our Future* is to *increase* the numbers of part-time students by 2012/13 and the opportunities to study part-time, which is at odds with capping numbers or provision.

If capping were to be introduced, as the consultation question suggests, respondents provided a range of possible flexible options. These included credits capping (favoured by most respondents), capping full-time equivalent (FTE) values, limiting Welsh domicile numbers at Welsh institutions or postponing implementation for one year (2013/14) along with ring-fenced funding in the interim. Concerns were raised that capping student numbers could result in reduced course or module provision.

Most respondents acknowledged the need for fiscal restraint in the current financial climate, but at the same time noted the need to increase take up of provision from its current level. Reducing the cost of part-time provision was seen by many colleges as a way forward.

Overall respondents considered that the changes to part-time fees and student support could lead to a much reduced take up of part-time HE provision. This could be exacerbated if employer contributions are significantly affected and the current trend towards lower demand continues. Some respondents indicated that some course provision could also be lost over time if a cap on numbers were to be introduced, unless careful consideration is given as to how this could be achieved.

Q8. Do you foresee any operational difficulties with student finance in relation to the changes we propose?

Summary of responses

Most respondents could foresee a number of operational difficulties with implementing these proposals. These included:

- the timescales are too tight and need to be delayed until 2013/14;
- the unpredictability of the part-time sector, the market and students;
- staff understanding the changes;
- implementation and operation of the proposals by the local authorities and the Student Loans Company systems;
- the interface with employer and bursary sponsorship;
- support arrangements for specific groups of students, for example, students with disabilities, carers and lone parents;
- transfers between modes of study;
- the arrangements for students with previous study or who are seeking to study equivalent or lower qualifications; and
- institutional planning assumptions.

It was suggested that these and other issues will require further and more detailed engagement with the sector in order for implementation to be successful. The communications strategy for prospective students needs to be sufficiently robust to dispel misconceptions about higher fees for part-time study. A multi-agency approach is needed to fully consider implementation of these proposals.

Respondents considered that the views of employers will also be important in respect of students taking vocational courses and those on continuing professional development courses. This was seen as a key component of the changes and potential impacts. Changes in circumstances are more prevalent to part-time students and systems need to be strengthened and made robust for the sector to capture them.

Respondents stated that more consideration should be given to the issue of fee loan repayments especially if implementation is delayed until 2013/14. Several respondents re-iterated their concerns about loan repayments being required 3 years after students commence their courses.

Q9. We have asked a number of specific questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use this space to report them.

Summary of responses

These comments were miscellaneous in nature, and some fell outside of the scope of this exercise, but are listed here for completeness.

- Should costs be related to the amount of teaching or contact the student has with the institution?
- Representation from higher education institutions on the Programme Delivery Board is desirable.
- Will there be any protection for high cost, Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) or Welsh medium subjects?
- Consideration of the impact of the proposed changes across different communities within Wales needs to be further explored.
- The effect on cross border flows of students needs to be considered or researched.
- A quick response to respondent calls for a delay to implementation would be desirable.
- Consideration of unintended consequences as a result of these proposals.
- The effect of the proposed changes on the different types of part-time student should be considered.
- The sector seeks a clearer definition of part-time study.
- Clarification on the eligibility of those taking resits, part-time and full-time study mixed modes.
- The overall effect on teaching budgets once they are reduced to take into account new fee loans/grants – clarification on how this funding will be prioritised.
- Will the fee levels be subject to inflationary annual increases?

Full list of respondents

Respondents to the consultation (excepting those not consenting to publication):

Higher education institutions (HEIs) and bodies

Aberystwyth University
Cardiff University
Glyndwr University
National Association of Student Money Advisers (NASMA)
Open University in Wales
Swansea University
University of Wales, Newport

Further education institutions (FEIs) and bodies

Coleg Llandrillo
Colleges Wales/Colegau Cymru
Deeside College
Merthyr Tydfil College
Pembrokeshire College
Wales Evangelical School of Theology

Other organisations

Catholic Education Service for England and Wales (CESEW) NIACE Dysgu Cymru UALL Cymru

Local authorities

Cardiff Council (Cardiff/Newport Student Support Team)
Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council

NUS and student bodies

National Union of Students (NUS) Wales Open University Students Association (OUSA) in Wales

Members of the public

Mrs Doyle, private individual